

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3946/2026

Babu Lal Prajapat S/o Ashu Ram Prajapat, Aged About 29 Years,
Residence Of Ward Number 2, Dudi Petrol Pump Ke Piche, Bangla
Nagar, District Bikaner, Rajasthan (Account No. 61285446091)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Commissioner Of Police,
Department Of Home, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The State Bank of India, Through Branch Manager,
Residence Of Jawahar Nagar, Jaisalmer Road, Bikaner.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Chandan Singh

For Respondent(s) : --

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

23/02/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

"It is, therefore, humbly and respectfully prayed that this writ petition of the petitioner may kindly be allowed and by an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature thereof thereby:-

A. The respondents may kindly be directed to de-freeze the bank account of the petitioner i.e. Bank account No. 61285446091 maintained in the respondent-Bank (Branch).

B. In case any action or proceeding is undertaken by the respondents in pursuance of the impugned proceedings during the pendency of this petition, which may be adverse to the rights, interests and prospects of the petitioner, the same may also kindly be declared illegal and void ab initio and may kindly be quashed and set aside.

C. Any other appropriate writ, order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may also kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner.

D. Writ petition filed by the petitioner may kindly be allowed with costs.

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition

with a direction to the State Bank of India (Respondent No. 2) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J