

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4052/2026

1. Raveena Lawrance D/o Shri Victor Lawrance, Aged About 48 Years, R/o House No. 54, Samratt Colony, Near Saint Raw School, Jodhpur Road, Pali.
2. Madhu Yadav D/o Shri Mahesh Kumar Yadav, Aged About 44 Years, R/o House No. 1, Near Mootha Provision Store Opposite Sanghi Petrol Pump, Jodhpur.
3. Neha Mundra D/o Shri Hari Kishan Mundhra, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Killi Khana, Makrana Mohalla, Jodhpur.
4. Rahul Kumar S/o Shri Babu Singh, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Village- Jurli, Tehsil- Bilara, District Jodhpur.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Dept. Of Education, Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Secretary Finance (Budget), Government Of Rajasthan, Department Of Finance, Secretariat, Jaipur.
3. The Director, Secondary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
4. The Joint Director, School Education, Jodhpur Range, Jodhpur.
5. The District Education Officer, (H. Q.), Secondary Education, Jodhpur.
6. The Treasury Officer (Rural), Jodhpur.
7. The Sub-Treasury Officer, Sub Treasury Balesar, District Jodhpur.
8. The Sub-Treasury Officer, Sub Treasury Luni, District Jodhpur.
9. The Sub-Treasury Officer, Sub Treasury Osian, District Jodhpur.
10. The Sub-Treasury Officer, Sub Treasury, Baori, Jodhpur.
11. The Sub-Treasury Officer, Sub Treasury Bilara, District Jodhpur.
12. The Principal, Mahatma Gandhi Govt. School, Police Line, Jodhpur.
13. The Principal, Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Khudiyala Block-Balesar, District Jodhpur.

14. The Principal, Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Nandwan, Block-Luni, District Jodhpur.
15. The Principal, Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Khindakor, Osiyan, District Jodhpur
16. The Principal, Govt. Sr. Sec School, Bala, Bilara, Jodhpur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Himmat Singh.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

24/02/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in an identical controversy, this Court, in **SBCWP No. 2837/2026: Mrs. Arti Choudhary v. The State of Rajasthan & Ors.**, while issuing notices, was pleased to grant an interim order wherein the respondents had been directed not to effect recovery of House Rent Allowance (HRA) and City Compensatory Allowance (CCA) on the allegation that the said amounts had been paid in excess to the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the respondents, by way of the impugned orders dated 02.12.2025, 20.11.2025, 10.01.2026 and 12.01.2026, have directed recovery of House Rent Allowance (HRA) and City Compensatory Allowance (CCA), alleging that the same were paid in excess to the petitioner. He further submits that the petitioners have neither made any misrepresentation nor committed any fraud; therefore, the impugned orders directing recovery are arbitrary and unjust.

3. The matter requires consideration.

4. Issue notice to the respondents. Issue notice of the stay application as well.

5. In the meanwhile and until the next date, no recovery shall be effected from the petitioners in pursuance of impugned orders dated 02.12.2025 (Annex.1), 20.11.2025 (Annex.8) and 12.01.2026 & 10.01.2026 (Annex.9, 10 & 11).
6. Connect with SBCWP No.2837/2026 & 3411/2026.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J

44-pradeep/-