

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4380/2026

Bhanwar Singh S/o Devi Singh, Aged About 48 Years, R/o Mahesh Das Nahar, Sagra, Tehsil Dechu, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through The Secretary, Finance Department, Secretariat, Delhi.
2. Regional Manager, SBI Bank, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. The Branch Manager, SBI Bank, Branch Sagar Road Dechu, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
4. The Station House Office, Police Station Cyber Range, Bhavnagar, Gujarat.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Dilip Kumar Jani
For Respondent(s)	:	-

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

25/02/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

It is, therefore, most humbly and respectfully prayed that this writ petition may kindly be allowed and by an appropriate writ, order of direction:

(1) That by an appropriate writ, order and direction, direct the respondents for releasing the whole amount of account bearing number 61247290579 and IFSC Code SBIN0032108,

(ii) By an appropriate writ, order and direction, direct the respondent bank to pay damages and compensation for mentally and economic loss.

(iii) By an appropriate writ, order and direction, direct the respondent bank to hold the disputed amount and the

remaining balance in the petitioner's account be allowed to operate without any restrictions;

(iv) Any other appropriate order or direction which this Hon'ble Court deems just and proper may kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner.

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the State Bank of India (Respondent No. 2 and 3) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

24-sonia/-