

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT  
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3906/2026

Dinesh S/o Pawan Kumar, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Sheoganj,  
District Sirohi, Raj.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through The Secretary, Finance Department, Secretariat, Delhi.
2. Regional Manager, Axis Bank, District Bangalore Karnataka.
3. The Branch Manger, Axis Bank, Branch Ground Shop No.411 M P M Layout 60 Feet Road Mallathahalli Urban Bangalore Sough District Bangalore Karnataka.

-----Respondents

---

|                   |   |                                     |
|-------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner(s) | : | Mr. Om Prakash<br>Mr. Pravesh Rawla |
| For Respondent(s) | : | --                                  |

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR**

**Order**

**23/02/2026**

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

*"It is therefore most humbly and respectfully prayed that this writ petition may kindly be allowed and by an appropriate writ, order or direction:-*

*(i) That by an appropriate writ, order and direction, direct the respondents for releasing the whole amount of account bearing number 924020028233494 bearing IFSC Code UTIB0003267,*

*(ii) By an appropriate writ, order and direction, direct the respondent bank to hold the disputed amount and the remaining balance in the petitioner's account be allowed to operate without any restrictions;*

*(iii) Any other appropriate order or direction which this Hon'ble Court deems just and proper may kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner.*

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition

with a direction to the Axix Bank (Respondent No.2 & 3) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from its bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

**(KULDEEP MATHUR),J**