

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4009/2026

Ashok Kumar Singhal S/o Mangi Lal, Aged About 23 Years, R/o
Jhab Tehsil Sanchore District Jalore 343040.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Bank of India, Through Its Branch Manager, Deora Block Sanchore Jalore.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, Represented By Its Director, National Highway-8, Mahalipur, New Delhi, Pin 110037.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Pukhraj Rohin Mr. Ratan Ankiya
For Respondent(s)	:	--

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

23/02/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

"It is therefore most respectfully prayed that this writ petition may kindly be allowed and by an appropriate writ, order or direction:-

- 1. The respondents may be directed to complete the investigation from the petitioner (if any) and allow the petitioner to operate his bank account on provisional basis without any interruption. The petitioner is holding Saving Bank Account No.: 44187123476 IFSC Code-SBIN0009065 Customer Name: Ashok Kumar Singhal Is In The Respondent to State Bank of India, Deora block Sanchore Jalore- Kindly direct the respondents to unfreeze account and remove the debit freeze.*
- 2. Kindly allow the petitioner to operate his account and use his own amount deposited in the bank.*
- 3. Any other appropriate order which this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case, may also kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner."*

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the State Bank of India (Respondent No. 1) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J