

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 2nd Bail Application No. 2480/2026

Baksilal S/o Bhagwan Lal, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Ruaaw,
Police Station Jhallara, District- Salumber, Rajasthan . At Present
Lodged At District Jail Udaipur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor.
2. Chaini Gayari D/o Teja Ji, R/o Ruaaw, Police Station
Jhallara, District- Salumber, Rajasthan

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Devendra Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Surendra Bishnoi, P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI CHIRANIA

Order

05/05/2026

1. The instant misc. second bail application has been filed by the petitioner Baksilal S/o Bhagwan Lal, who was arrested by the police in connection with the FIR bearing No.149/2025 registered at Police Station Jhallara, District Salumber for the offences punishable under Sections 127(2), 64(1), 75(2) of B.N.S., 2023 and Section 3 read with Section 4 of Protection of Children From Sexual Offences Act (Amendment), 2012, 2019. The first bail application of the petitioner was dismissed by this Court vide order dated 04.11.2025.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the statement of the prosecutrix along-with other witnesses have been recorded by the learned Trial Court as P.W.-1 on 08.12.2025. Learned counsel further submits that there is a serious contradiction in the statement of the prosecutrix. Further, the

doctor, whose statement were recorded as P.W.-4 have specifically stated that there are no injury marks on the private parts of the prosecutrix and, therefore, the complete allegations levelled against the petitioner are false and baseless. Learned counsel added that the petitioner is behind the bars since long and statement of the material witnesses have been recorded. In view thereof, he prayed that the petitioner may be enlarged on bail by this court.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the bail application by submitting that the allegations levelled are serious in nature. Thus, the present case is not a fit one for grant of bail to the accused-petitioner.

4. This Court noted that the allegations levelled by the complainant in the FIR, conclusion recorded in the charge-sheet and further, in the statement of the prosecutrix recorded by the Trial Court as P.W.-1, she has specifically stated the complete conduct of the petitioner herein.

5. Considering that the prosecutrix is a minor girl, below 18 years of age and the accused-petitioner is a married person and a close relative of the prosecutrix. Also, after considering the statement of the prosecutrix recorded as P.W.-1, apart from the statement of the mother of the prosecutrix, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the present petitioner on bail.

6. Consequently, the instant misc. second bail application is dismissed.

(RAVI CHIRANIA),J