

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3880/2026

Sajjan Kumar Bhati S/o Shri Rampal Bhati, Aged About 32 Years,
R/o Ward No. 15, Tehsil Jayal, Khatoon Kalan, Bari Khatu,
District Nagaur (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Au Small Finance Bank, Through Its Branch Manager At Pratap Nagar, District Jaipur.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, Represented By Its Director, National Highway-8, Mahalipur, New Delhi, Pin 110037.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Shaurya Pratap Singh Rathore
For Respondent(s)	:	--

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

23/02/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

"It is, therefore, humbly prayed that the present Writ Petition may kindly be allowed and by an appropriate, order or direction-

(i) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondent may kindly be directed to de-freeze the bank account bearing account number 2121221632915397, IFSC Code: AUBL0002216, Customer Name: Sajjan Kumar Bhati in The Respondent Bank Au Small Finance Bank, Branch Pratap Nagar District Jaipur of the petitioner in respondent bank.

(ii) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondent may kindly be directed to not freeze the

bank account of the petitioner in future without prior notice.

(iii) Any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court deems just and proper may kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner .

(iv) The cost of the writ petition may kindly be awarded to the petitioner."

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the Au Small Finance Bank (Respondent No.1) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

23-sudhir/-