



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3728/2026

1. Seema D/o Deraj Ram W/o Lokesh Jajra, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Village Goganada, Post Singad, Tehsil And District Nagaur. Presently Ahmadpura, District Nagaur.
2. Manju Meena D/o Kaluram Meena, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Badgotia Ki Dhani, Natata, Tehsil Jamvaramgarh, District Jaipur.
3. Anita Meena D/o Jagdish Prasad Meena W/o Surgyani Ram Meena, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Village Lothawas, Post Pratapgarh, Tehsil Thanagaji, District Alwar.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Rural Development And Panchayati Raj (Panchayati Raj), Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Additional Commissioner, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. District Programme Coordinator And District Collector, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.
5. Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Badi Sadri, District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Pawan Singh

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

24/02/2026

1. Petition herein arises, inter alia, out of the inaction on the part of the respondents in not according the correct service and notional benefits to the petitioners.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners at the outset submits that qua the aforesaid grievance, the petitioners may be granted



liberty to file a fresh representation before the competent authority and the same be decided by passing appropriate administrative orders, in accordance with law.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners also relies on order/judgment in ***Nand Kishore Sharma & Ors. v. The State of Rajasthan & Ors.: S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.12109/2018***, decided on 18.07.2018 at Jaipur Bench and submits that the respondents may be directed to consider the representation of the petitioners in light of the aforesaid judgment.

4. Request seems to be fair.

5. Given the nature of order which is being passed, no prejudice would be caused to the respondents and, therefore, the requirement of issuance of notice is dispensed with as no return is required to be filed by them.

6. In the aforesaid premise, the writ petition is disposed of with a liberty to the petitioners to file a fresh representation, which shall be gone into by the competent authority and appropriate administrative order shall be passed in accordance with law.

7. Needless to say that the competent authority shall go through the judgment relied upon by learned counsel for the petitioners as mentioned hereinabove and apply its independent mind on the applicability of the same before passing any order.

8. Needful be done as expeditiously as possible.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J

41-/Devesh/-