

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3964/2026

Omaram S/o Chutraram, Aged About 34 Years, Resident Of
Meghwalo Ka Bas, Khari Khurd, Po Jud, District Jodhpur, (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Bank Of India, Through Its Regional Manager (Rm),
Regional Business Office (Bro), State Bank Of India,
Jodhpur, (Raj.).
2. Branch Manager, Branch Rai Ka Bagh, State Bank Of
India, Rasala Road, Jodhpur, (Raj.).

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Kailash Meghwal

For Respondent(s) : --

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

23/02/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

"It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that this writ petition may kindly be allowed and by an appropriate writ, order or direction the respondents may kindly be directed
(i) by an appropriate writ, order, or direction, directing the Respondent Bank (SBI Payments Bank) to immediately defreeze/unfreeze the petitioner's Saving Bank Account No. 61158102168 with SBI Payments Bank, Branch Rai Ka Bagh Rasala Road, Jodhpur (IFSC Code: SBIN0007451;
(ii) by an appropriate writ, order, or direction to the appropriate authority to investigate the disputed transactions and reverse the amounts to the original sender, if traceable
(iii) Direct the Respondents to pay appropriate compensation and legal costs to the petitioner for illegally freezing his account and causing financial hardship and mental agony;
(iv) Any other appropriate order which this Hon'ble Court considers just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case may also kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner."

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the State Bank of India (Respondent No. 2) to

keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J