

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3517/2026

1. Poonam Beniwal D/o Shri Laxmi Narayan, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Vpo Chhani Bari, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh.
2. Pyara Singh S/o Shri Nathu Ram, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Vpo Chhani Bari, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary To The Government, Department Of Personnel (A-2), Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Secretary, Education Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
3. Director, Secondary Education Department, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
4. District Education Officer (Hq), Secondary Education Department, District Hanumangarh.
5. District Education Officer (Hq), Secondary Education Department, District Jaipur.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Devang Deora

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

12/02/2026

1. While asserting that the petitioners' case is covered by the judgment dated 08.10.2015 passed by co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of **Durga Ram Jat vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. (S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9695/2014)**, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be satisfied if the competent authority of the respondents is directed

to consider petitioners' representation (which he would be filing within a period of four weeks from today) in accordance with law in the light of judgment passed in the case of Durga Ram Jat (supra).

2. The writ petition is disposed of with the direction to the petitioners to file a fresh representation alongwith web-copy of the judgment dated 08.10.2015 passed in the case of Durga Ram Jat (supra) and certified copy of the order instant within a period of four weeks from today.

3. In case, a representation is so addressed, the competent authority of the respondents shall consider the same in accordance with law in light of the judgment cited by the petitioners, as early as possible, preferably within a period of eight weeks of receipt thereof.

4. It is made clear that aforesaid direction to decide the representation has been issued only with a view to ensure expeditious redressal of petitioners' grievance. The same may not be construed to be an order to decide the representation in a particular manner.

5. The stay application also stands disposed of, accordingly.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J