

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3405/2026

1. Surendra Singh Rathore S/o Shri Nand Singh, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Behind Old Power House, Pratap Colony, Bhinmal, District Jalore, Rajasthan.
2. Ashvini Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Madan Lal Sharam, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Village Kot Kasta, Bhinmal, District Jalore, Rajasthan.
3. Sawla Ram S/o Shri Prabhu Ram, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Village Bijrol Kheda, Sanchore, District Jalore, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Rural And Panchayati Raj, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Secretary, Department Of Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.
4. The Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.
5. The District Education Officer (Headquarter), Secondary Education, Jalore, District Jalore, Rajasthan.
6. The District Education Officer (Headquarter), Elementary Education, Jalore, District Jalore, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Devendra Singh.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI
Order

11/02/2026

1. Petition herein arises, inter alia, out of the inaction on the part of the respondents in not according the correct service and notional benefits to the petitioners.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners at the outset submits that qua the aforesaid grievance, the petitioners may be granted liberty to file a fresh representation before the competent authority and the same be

decided by passing appropriate administrative orders, in accordance with law.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners also relies on order/judgment in **Nand Kishore Sharma & Ors. v. The State of Rajasthan & Ors.:** **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.12109/2018**, decided on 18.07.2018 at Jaipur Bench and submits that the respondents may be directed to consider the representation of the petitioners in light of the aforesaid judgment.

4. Request seems to be fair.

5. Given the nature of order which is being passed, no prejudice would be caused to the respondents and, therefore, the requirement of issuance of notice is dispensed with as no return is required to be filed by them.

6. In the aforesaid premise, the writ petition is disposed of with a liberty to the petitioners to file a fresh representation, which shall be gone into by the competent authority and appropriate administrative order shall be passed in accordance with law.

7. Needless to say that the competent authority shall go through the judgment relied upon by learned counsel for the petitioners as mentioned hereinabove and apply its independent mind on the applicability of the same before passing any order.

8. Needful be done as expeditiously as possible.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J