HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3348/2026

Pawan S/o Shri Kishna Ram, Aged About 21 Years, R/o Laxman Pura, Dholasar, Jodhpur (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

The Branch Manager, Digibank By DBS Bank, Branch Address DBS Bank India Limited Bhilwara Branch Bhandara Olaza Oppnagar Parishad, District Bhilwara (Raj.) 311001.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Jagdish Bhadu
For Respondent(s)	:	--

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

13/02/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

> *"It is, therefore prayed that the record of the case may be called for any by an appropriate writ, order or direction;*
> *(a) By an appropriate writ, order or direction. The respondent's may be directed to Defreeze the account and money of the petitioner.*
> *(b) That the petitioner account no. 8159010000003896 of Digibank by DBS Bank may kindly be defreeze and account may be release to the petitioner.*
> *(c) by an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents to may kindly be directed to pay the 10% interest on the amount in the petitioner's account which is been freeze by the bank.*
> *(d) by an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents may kindly be directed to not freeze the bank account of the petitioner in future without any just reason and reasonable evidence against the petitioner of being involved in any cyber fraudulent activity.*
> *(e) Any other order which this Hon'ble Court deems just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may also be passed in favor of the Petitioner.*
> *(f) The writ petition may kindly be allowed with cost."*

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the Digibank By DBS Bank (Respondent) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

26-Dinesh/-