

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3353/2026

1. Sandeep Kumar S/o Chandra Bhan, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Chak 11 Ksp, Vpo Jhamber, Tehsil And District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
2. Sujata D/o Ramchander, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Ward No. 13, Vpo Manniwali, Tehsil Sadulshahar, District Sriganganagar, Rajasthan.
3. Sharmila D/o Mahaveer Prasad, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Ward No. 10, Vpo Karampura, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
4. Saurav S/o Hanuman Prasad, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Ward No. 14, Village Ranjeetpura, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretariat, School Education, Govt. Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh.
4. The District Education Officer, Headquarter (Elementary) Hanumangarh.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Hansraj Nimbar

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

12/02/2026

1. Petitioners herein before this Court seeks appropriate direction to the respondents to accord them all notional benefits on the post of Teacher Grade-III from the date of initial appointment as has been given to his counterparts.

2. At the very outset, it is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the issue raised in the present writ petition is covered by an order passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court at Jaipur in case of ***Om Prakash & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. (S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.21214/2014)***, decided on 21.11.2017. He submits that the petitioner is sanguine that, in case he is allowed to file a representation qua the grievance raised in the present writ petition and the same is considered in light of the aforesaid judgment, he will be meted out with favorable treatment.

3. In view of the aforesaid, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition filed by the petitioner is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide the representation (may file fresh, if not already filed) of the petitioner, in accordance with law, keeping in view the observations made in the case of ***Om Prakash*** (supra), as expeditiously as possible.

4. Pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J