

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3297/2026

Manphool Bishnoi S/o Shri Bhakhar Ram, Aged About 27 Years,
Resident of - Moolraj, Dabar Nagar, District - Jodhpur (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Finance, Jaipur Rajasthan.
2. The IndusInd Bank, Through Its Managing Director And General Manager, Head Office, 8Th Floor, Tower 1, One Indiabulls Center, Jupiter Mills Compound, 841, S.b Marg, Elphinstone Road (West), Mumbai Maharashtra.
3. The IndusInd Bank, Through Branch Manager, Municipality Road, Phalodi, District - Phalodi, Raj.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Sunil Dhaka

For Respondent(s) : --

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

12/02/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

"i. It is, therefore most humbly and respectfully prayed that in the light of the above-mentioned facts and circumstances, it is therefore most respectfully and humbly prayed that by an appropriate writ order or direction:

ii. The act of the respondent by which they have freezed the bank account no. 158696232711 in Indusind Bank at branch district Phalodi of the petitioner May be quashed and set aside and respondent Bank may be directed to defreeze the bank account of the petitioner and permit him to operate the bank account.

iii. By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents may kindly be directed to pay the 10% interest per annum on the amount in petitioner's account which has been put on freeze from the date of the freeze till the date of release."

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the IndusInd Bank (Respondents No.2 & 3) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J