

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2426/2026

Ajay Prakash S/o Santosh Kumar Sharma, Aged About 42 Years,
R/o Ward No.26, In Front Of Old Post-Office, Churu Rajasthan
(At Present Lodged At District- Jail, Chittorgarh)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, through PP

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2229/2026

Vikas Saharan S/o Shri Hetram Saharan, Aged About 29 Years,
R/o House No.13/312, Sector No.13 Mukul Prasad Colony,
Bikaner. (Lodged In Sub Jail Ratangarh)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr Ravindra Kumar Charan Mr. Manish Dadhich
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Hathi Singh Jodha, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

23/02/2026

1. The petitioners have filed these bail applications under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.159/2025 registered at Police Station Ratangarh, District Churu for offence under Sections 8/22, 29 of NDPS Act.
2. Since both these bail applications arise out of common FIR, hence, they are being decided by this common order.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in these cases. They further submit that nothing has been recovered from the present petitioners and the person namely Mumtaj Ali from whose conscious possession 303 gram Clovedol (Tramadol) and 1382.04 gram Alprazolam tablets (which is above commercial quantity) has been recovered, got the benefit of bail on 24.01.2026. They further submits that the allegation against the petitioners Ajay and Vikas is that they are the purchaser and supplier of the above contraband respectively which falls under Section 29 of NDPS Act. They also submits that the petitioners are behind the bars since 05.06.2025 with one cases each of similar nature. They further argued that the main accused Mumtaj Ali has also one case of similar nature and he has been granted benefit of bail. The trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners in further custody. Hence, on the ground of parity, the bail application of the petitioners may be allowed.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application.

6. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in the light of submission made by learned counsel for the petitioners especially on the ground of parity but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioners.

7. Consequently, the bail applications under Section 483 B.N.S.S. are allowed and it is directed that the petitioners No.1 Ajay Prakash S/o Santosh Kumar Sharma and No.2 Vikas Saharan S/o Shri Hetram Saharan, be released on bail provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that each of them shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J

63-64-amit/-