

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3321/2026

M/s Shree Shyam Enterprises, Through Its Bhavnesh Solanky S/o Shri Madhu Lal Solanky, Aged About 26 Years, Resident Of A-45, Nilgiri Colony, New Annaj Mandi Ke Pass, Road No.9, Sikar Road, Jaipur, Rajasthan (Aadhar No. 852813641654)

-----Petitioner

Versus

Bank of Baroda, Through Its Branch Manager/nodal Officer, Branch 1st Floor, Dukan No.52 Renwal Road Dholi Mandi, Chomu 303702 Jaipur Rajasthan.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. B.L. Jat

For Respondent(s) : --

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

13/02/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

"A. It is, therefore, most respectfully and humbly prayed that your lordship may graciously be pleased to accept and allow this writ petition and:

B. By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents may be directed to remove the freeze on the Account with Respondent Bank bearing to forthwith (release the amount of Current account no. 25510200001002 M/S Shree Shyam Enterprises Through Its Bhavnesh Solanky S/O Shri Madhu Lal Solanky In Bank Of Baroda, Through Its Branch Manager/Nodal Officer, Branch 1st Floor, Dukan No. 52 Renwal Road Dholi Mandi, Chomu 303702 Jaipur Rajasthan the petitioner forthwith.

C. Direct the Respondents to furnish the details and basis of the alleged cyber complaint(s), including the identity of the complainant, nature of the allegation, and the authority under which the lien has been marked on the Petitioner's accounts.

D. By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents may be directed to remove the freeze on the bank account and blocked money of petitioner.

E. Any other order which this hon'ble court deems just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may also be passed in favour of the petitioner.
F. The cost of the writ petition may kindly be awarded to the petitioner."

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the Bank of Baroda (Respondent) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from its bank account from the remaining balance.
3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.
4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.
5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

23-Dinesh/-