HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3251/2026

Rashid S/o Shri Bhanwar Ali, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Ward No. 19, Vip Colony, Shawa Road, Sriram Dharmkanta Tara Nagar, District Churu, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Reserve Bank Of India, Through Its Chief General Manager, Department Of Banking Regulation, Mumbai.
2. The Branch Manager, State Bank of India, Taranagar, Dist. Churu, Rajasthan.
3. The Branch Manager, Punjab National Bank, Taranagar, Dist. Churu, Rajasthan.
4. The Branch Manager, AU Small Finance Bank, Ward No. 3, Sheetla Chowk, Taranagar, Dist. Churu, Rajasthan.
5. The Police Officer Cyber Cell, Jalgaon, Zillapeth, Dist. Maharashtra (Mumbai).

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. M.K. Dudy
For Respondent(s)	:	--

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

12/02/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

> *"In view of the above, the petitioner prays for the following reliefs: Issue a writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction ,directing the Respondent No. 2,3,4 i. e State Bank Of India, Punjab National Bank, Au Small Fiance Bank to forthwith release the amount of lying under lien in the Petitioner's Account No.61141607770 (Saving Account in the name Rasid) and restore full access to the said account;*
> *(i) Direct the Respondents to furnish the details and basis of the alleged cyber complaint(s), including the identity of the complainant, nature of the allegation, and the authority under which the lien has been marked on the Petitioner's accounts;*
> *(ii) Pass such other and further order(s) as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit, just, and proper in the interest of justice and equity."*

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition

with a direction to the State Bank of India (Respondent No.2), Punjab National Bank (Respondent No.3) and AU Small Finance Bank (Annexure-4) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

45-Dinesh/-