

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3252/2026

Sang Singh S/o Mool Singh, Aged About 45 Years, Resident Of
Tavriwala, Tehsil Pokhran, District Jaisalmer (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Colonization Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat At Jaipur Rajasthan.
2. Rajasthan Government, Through Colonization Officer, Nachna-1, District Jaisalmer.
3. The Colonization Commissioner, Bikaner Rajasthan.
4. The Deputy Commissioner, Colonization Indira Gandhi Nahar Pariyojna, Pokhran, District Jaisalmer, Rajasthan.
5. The Tehsildar, Colonization, Indira Gandhi Nahar Pariyojna, Pokhran, Tehsil And District Jaisalmer, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Jai Kishan Bhaiya with
Mr. Mayank Bhaiya

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT

Order

13/02/2026

1. Present writ petition has been filed challenging the order dated 12.08.2025 whereby learned Board of Revenue has refused to grant ad interim relief in favour of the petitioner while awaiting the record of the learned Court below.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allotment was made in favour of the petitioner after following the due process, which has been challenged by the concerned Tehsildar

after 16 years and in pursuance thereof, the allotment order was cancelled.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that learned Board of Revenue has although admitted the revision petition, while holding that the same involved substantial questions of law, however, no interim relief has been granted.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner makes a limited submission to direct the learned Board of Revenue to decide the revision petition in a time bound manner.

5. The limited submission made by learned counsel deserves acceptance.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, learned Board of Revenue, Ajmer is directed to expedite the proceedings for adjudication of the pending revision petition/said application and try to conclude the same within a period of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

7. Till the revision petition is finally decided, the petitioner shall not be dispossessed from the land in question and the status-quo with regard to land in question as well as record shall be maintained by both the parties.

8. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of.

9. Stay application and all pending application(s) are also disposed of.

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J