HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3211/2026

1. Sukhwant Singh S/o Bakshish Singh Alias Baldev Singh, Aged About 49 Years, R/o 4 Fdm Tehsil Suratgarh, District Ganganagar (Raj.).
2. Hari Singh S/o Bakshish Singh, Aged About 70 Years, R/o 4 Fdm Tehsil Suratgarh, District Ganganagar (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. Tarsemlal S/o Hukma Mehra, Resident Of Wasa Tehsil Nagrota Suriya, District Kangda, Himachal Pradesh.
2. Jeevanlal S/o Hukma Mehra, Resident Of Wasa Tehsil Nagrota Suriya, District Kangda, Himachal Pradesh.
3. Ashwin Kumar S/o Hukma Mehra, Resident Of Wasa Tehsil Nagrota Suriya, District Kangda, Himachal Pradesh.
4. Kushalta D/o Hukma Mehra, Resident Of Wasa Tehsil Nagrota Suriya, District Kangda, Himachal Pradesh.
5. Rachna Devi D/o Hukma Mehra, Resident Of Wasa Tehsil Nagrota Suriya, District Kangda, Himachal Pradesh.
6. Vijaypal S/o Bhoopsingh Bishnoi, R/o 5 Fdmb Tehsil Suratgarh, District Ganganagar (Raj.).
7. Hansraj S/o Prithviraj, R/o Chak 15 Sgr, Tehsil Suratgarh, District Ganganagar (Raj.).
8. State Of Rajasthan, Through Tehsildar Suratgarh, District Ganganagar (Raj.).

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ranjeet Joshi
Mr. Kapil Bissa
Mr. Abhijeet Joshi
Mr. Amit Joshi

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT

Order

13/02/2026

1. The present writ petition is filed challenging the order dated 05.03.2025 passed by the Revenue Appellate Authority, Sriganganagar whereby, the application of the respondent nos. 6 & 7 for impleadment

of party on the basis of subsequent sale deed to be made in their favour, filed under Order XLI Rule 20 read with Section 151 of C.P.C. was allowed

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as a matter of fact, the appeal has been preferred under Section 75 of the Land Revenue Act against the allotment order dated 06.12.2023 and the same was not against any judgment and decree and therefore, the provisions of order XLI Rule 20 of C.P.C. were not at all applicable.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner stated that even the said legal aspect has not been taken into account by the learned Revisional Court and the revision preferred by the petitioner was also dismissed in violation of the statutory provisions.

4. Matter requires consideration.

5. Issue notice. Issue notice of the stay application also, returnable, within a period of six weeks.

6. In the meantime, further proceedings in Appeal No. 97/2023 pending before the Revenue Appellate Authority, Sriganganagar, shall remain stayed.

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J

22-shashi/-