

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2045/2026

Jayantilal S/o Vagtaram, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Village Mandaar, Tehsil Sirohi, District Sirohi, at Present 304, new Balaji Dham, CHS Ltd off Meera Bhaindar Road, Medatiya Nagar Phase 1 Meera Road, East Thane (MH)
(Lodged In Central Jail, Jodhpur)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. B. Ray Bishnoi
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Sonu Manawat, PP Mr. Mahender Kumar Dudy, for complainant

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

Order

26/02/2026

1. The present bail application has been filed by the accused-applicant under Section 483 of the B.N.S.S, 2023 against the order dated 02.02.2026 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge (WAS Cases), Jodhpur Metro in connection with FIR No.206/2025, registered at Police Station Bhagat Ki Kothi, District Jodhpur for the offences punishable under Sections 64(2)(M), 115(2) and 127(2) of BNS, by which the bail application of the accused-applicant under Section 483 of the B.N.S.S, 2023 has been dismissed.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-applicant submits that the accused-applicant has falsely been implicated in this matter. Learned counsel argues that as per the FIR itself, there existed a

friendly relationship between the prosecutrix and the accused-applicant, as they were connected through Instagram. Learned counsel further submits that the allegations against the accused pertain to making some obscene photos and videos of the prosecutrix and threatening to circulate/viral them. It is further submitted that the charges levelled against the accused-applicant are punishable under Sections 64(2)(M), 115(2), and 127(2) of the BNS. During the trial, the prosecutrix was examined as PW-1, and she has turned hostile and has not supported the prosecution version. Learned counsel also argues that the accused-applicant was arrested on 03.01.2026 and since then, he is behind the bars. Learned counsel further contends that charge-sheet has been filed. Learned counsel prays that trial will take long time to conclude, therefore, the accused-applicant be enlarged on bail.

3. On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the complainant have opposed the bail application and submitted that the prosecutrix was kept under fear, therefore, she has turned hostile; thus, the accused-applicant should not be enlarged on bail

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and also perused the material available on record.

5. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the facts that the prosecutrix has turned hostile during the trial and there is nothing on record to indicate that any fear was instilled by the accused nor any application to this effect has been filed by the prosecutrix before the learned trial court; that the accused-applicant is behind the bars since 03.01.2026; that the charge-sheet has been filed and trial will take long time to

conclude, without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court deems it proper to allow the bail application filed by the accused-applicant.

6. Consequently, this bail application filed under Section 483 of the B.N.S.S, 2023 is allowed. It is ordered that accused-applicant **Jayantilal S/o Vagtaram**, arrested in FIR No.206/2025, registered at Police Station Bhagat Ki Kothi, District Jodhpur shall be released on bail; provided he furnishes personal bond in the sum of Rs.**50,000/-** and two solvent **attested** sureties of Rs.**25,000/-** each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

7. The Accused-Applicant shall be required to appear before that Court on all dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

(ASHUTOSH KUMAR),J