



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**



D.B. Habeas Corpus Petition No. 72/2026

Manfool Ram S/o Mangi Lal, Aged About 23 Years, Resident Of
Ward No. 10, 7 Jda, Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Ministry Of Home Affairs, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Superintendent Of Police, Sri Ganganagar.
3. The Station House Officer Of Police Station Sameja Kothi, District Sri Ganganagar.
4. Prem Singh S/o Resham Singh, Resident Of 23, Sajam Khokharwali, District Sri Ganganagar.
5. Santo Bai W/o Prem Singh, Resident Of 23, Sajam Khokharwali, District Sri Ganganagar.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Achala Ram
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Deepak Choudhary, GA-cum-AAG Ms. Priyanka, RPS Mr. Sahiram, ASI, PS, Sameja Kothi, Raysinghnagar. Mr. Abhishek Aggarwal Mr. Tirupati Chandra

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINIT KUMAR MATHUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR SHARMA**

Order

25/02/2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. The present habeas corpus petition has been filed by the petitioner, who claims to be the husband of the corpus Mst. 'K' and it has been alleged that the corpus Mst. 'K' is in illegal detention of the private respondent Nos.4 and 5.



3. In pursuance of the directions issued by this Court, the corpus Mst. 'K' is appeared before us.

4. We have conferred with the corpus Mst. 'K'. She has stated that she is 19 years of age and has studied up to senior secondary class, understanding her well being quite well. She has stated that she is not in illegal detention of respondent Nos.4 & 5, who are her parents and she is living happily with them on her own free will. She stated that she does not want to go with the present petitioner. She stated that the present petitioner has mysteriously taken her along with him, but she does not want to accompany him any more.

5. In view of the statements made before us by the corpus Mst. 'K', we are fully convinced that corpus Mst. 'K' is not in illegal detention of respondent Nos.4 & 5. Since the corpus Mst. 'K' is not in illegal detention of respondent Nos.4 & 5, therefore, the present Habeas Corpus Petition fails and the same is hereby dismissed.

6. The amount deposited by the petitioner in pursuance of the directions issued by this Court on 16.02.2026 stands forfeited.

(CHANDRA SHEKHAR SHARMA),J

(VINIT KUMAR MATHUR),J

5-nitin/-