

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3136/2026

Abhishek S/o Shri Ramdeen, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Artiya Khurd, Artiya Kallan, Bhopalgarh, District Jodhpur (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

The Branch Manager, Nodal Officer / Officer-In-Charge, Jio Payment Bank, Dhirubhai Ambani Knowledge City, Building 25, 1St Floor, A Wing, Kopar Khairane, Navi Mumbai.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Jagdish Bhadu

For Respondent(s) : --

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

12/02/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

"(a) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, The respondent's may be directed to Defreeze the account and money of the petitioner.

(b) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, a screenshot of the bank account detail and mail of the bank by which petitioner account was freezed on the basis of statement dated 18.12.2025 (Annexure-2) is set aside.

(c) That the petitioner account no. 002821712576119 of Jio Payment Bank may kindly be defreeze and the lien amount rupees rupees 5000/- dated 18.12.2025 (Annex-2) may be released to in the favour of petitioner

(d) by an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents to may kindly be directed to pay the 10% interest on the amount in the petitioner's account which is been freeze by the bank.

(e) by an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents may kindly be directed to not freeze the bank account of the petitioner in future without any just reason and reasonable evidence against the petitioner of being involved in any cyber fraudulent activity."

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition

with a direction to the Jio Payment Bank (Respondent) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J