

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3122/2026

1. Ajim Khan S/o Mahmood Khan, Aged About 76 Years, Resident Of Ranasar, Churu, Tehsil And District- Churu (Raj.).
2. Om Prakash S/o Late Shri Ruparam, Aged About 57 Years, Resident Of Village Dhadhar, Tehsil And District- Churu.
3. Chimna Ram S/o Late Ruparam, Aged About 68 Years, Resident Of Village Dhadhar, Tehsil And District- Churu.
4. Smt. Parmeshwari Devi W/o Chimmaram, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Village Dhadhar, Tehsil And District- Churu.
5. Bhanwari Devi W/o Latge Bhebharam, Aged About 60 Years, Resident Of Village Dhadhar, Tehsil And District- Churu.
6. Subhash Chandra S/o Late Bhebharam, Aged About 40 Years, Resident Of Village Dhadhar, Tehsil And District- Churu.
7. Dharmpal S/o Late Bhebharam, Aged About 45 Years, Resident Of Village Dhadhar, Tehsil And District- Churu.
8. Smt. Saroj D/o Late Bhebharam, Aged About 30 Years, Resident Of Village Dhadhar, Tehsil And District- Churu. (Petitioner No. 2 To 8 Through Their Power Of Attorney Holder Petitioner No. 2 Om Prakash S/o Late Shri Ruparam).
9. Gopichand S/o Late Rajuram, Aged About 45 Years, Resident Of Village Dhadhar, Tehsil And District- Churu.
10. Smt. Panna Devi W/o Late Rajuram, Aged About 72 Years, Resident Of Village Dhadhar, Tehsil And District- Churu.
11. Smt. Nirmala D/o Late Rajuram W/o Mahendra Punia, Aged About 40 Years, Resident Of Village Dhadhar, Tehsil And District- Churu.
12. Smt. Babita D/o Late Rajuram W/o Umesh Fageria, Aged About 38 Years, Resident Of Village Dhadhar, Tehsil And District- Churu.
13. Smt. Suman D/o Late Rajuram W/o Moolaram, Aged

About 36 Years, Resident Of Village Dhadhar, Tehsil And District- Churu.

14. Smt. Sarwati D/o Late Rajuram W/o Om Prakash Punia, Aged About 33 Years, Resident Of Village Dhadhar, Tehsil And District- Churu.
15. Ramniwas S/o Late Rajuram, Aged About 49 Years, Resident Of Village Dhadhar, Tehsil And District- Churu. (Petitioner No. 9 And 15 Through Their Power Of Attorney Holder, The Petitioner No. 9 Gopichand S/o Late Rajuram).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through Secretary, Ministry Of Power, Government Of India, New Delhi.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Chief Secretary, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
3. State Of Rajasthan, Through District Collector, Jodhpur.
4. Tehsildar, Churu, District Churu.
5. Chairman And Managing Director, Power Grid Corporation Of India Limited, B-9, Qutub Institution Area, Katwaria Sarai, New Delhi- 110016.
6. M/s Power Grid Bikaner- Neemrana Transmission Ltd., Having Its Registered Office At B-9, Qutab Institutional Area, Katwaria Sarai, Hauz Khas, South West Delhi, New Delhi- 110016.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Om Rajpurohit
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vineet R. Dave and Mr. Yugantar Ohri for Respondent No. 5 & 6

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

12/02/2026

1. At the outset learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents submits that an identical writ petition being **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.6706/2025; Maniram Vs. Power Grid Corporation Limited & Ors.** claiming similar relief has already been dismissed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 21.04.2025.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that though the above mentioned writ petition is dismissed however, respondents were asked to calculate and pay the compensation as applicable to the petitioner in a time bound manner. He further submits that he has no objection if the present writ petition is disposed of in the same terms.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner is not in a position to refute the submission made by learned counsel for the respondents.

4. It is noted that in the present case, the grievance of the petitioner is with regard to the laying down of a high-tension line. In view of the provisions contained in the Indian Telegraph Act 1885, the petitioner cannot object to the laying down of high-tension line but he is entitled for compensation in accordance with law.

5. In view of the above factual position, the present writ petition is **disposed of** in the same terms as **Maniram** (supra).

6. Needless to observe, as directed in the above referred judgment, it shall be required of the respondents to calculate and pay the compensation applicable to the petitioner.

7. Petitioners' right to lay challenge to the amount of compensation determined shall stand reserved. The compensation

shall be payed within a period of six months of the electricity line being laid over the petitioners' land.

8. Pending application(s), if any, stand(s) disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

18-sonia/-