HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3076/2026

Manful S/o Shri Shankar Lal, Aged About 25 Years, R/o A, Anasri Nagar, Madhuban, Shree Library Jhalamand, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, Represented By Its Director, National Highway-8, Mahalipur, New Delhi, Pin- 110037.
3. Branch Manager/nodal Officer, Hdfc Bank Ltd, Ground Floor, Main Chowk, Near Dudhadhari Mandir Shastri Nagar, Jammu, Jammu And Kashmir.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Anil Bishnoi
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Arpit Mehta

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

10/02/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

> *"It is, therefore, humbly prayed that the present Writ Petition may kindly be allowed and by an appropriate, order or direction-*
> *(i) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondent may kindly be directed to de-freeze the bank account bearing account number 50100752054774 of the petitioner in respondent bank.*
> *(ii) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondent may kindly be directed to not freeze the bank account of the petitioner in future without prior notice.*
> *(iii) Any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court deems just and proper may kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner."*

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition

with a direction to the HDFC Bank (Respondent No.3) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

5. At this stage, learned counsel for the respondent-Bank submits that in addition to the cyber crime/cyber complain there is another issue which has been raised with respect to petitioner's bank account. The issue is with regard to the internal freezing of the bank account due to certain doubtful entries.

6. Considering the submission made above, it is hereby directed that the petitioner would be required to submit requisite

documents to the Bank. The respondent-Bank shall examine the documents submitted the petitioner and take fresh decision.

7. Stay petition as well as all pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

43-himanshu/-