

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3085/2026

1. Managing Committee, Shri Jain Ratna Senior Secondary School, Bhopalgarh, District Jodhpur Through Its President, Prassan Chand Ostwal S/o Late Sooraj Raj Ostwal, Aged 68 Years
2. Managing Committee, Shri Jain Ratna Sansthan, Bhopalgarh, District Jodhpur Through Its President, Prassan Chand Ostwal S/o Late Sooraj Raj Ostwal, Aged 68 Years

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, School And Sanskrit Education Department, Jaipur
2. Commissioner, Secondary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
3. Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner Rajasthan.
4. The District Education Officer, Mukhyalaya (Secondary), Main Office, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
5. Mumtaj Ahmed Chisti, Opposite Morning Glory School, Near Gyan Kirana Store, Behind Power House, Sanjay C Colony, Pratap Nagar, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Vijay Kumar
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Sajjan Singh

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUKESH RAJPUROHIT

Order

05/05/2026

The matter comes up on an application (I.A. No. 01/2026) seeking modification of the order dated 10.02.2026.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that in pursuance of the order dated 10.02.2026, the petitioner prepared a Demand Draft in the name of "*Civil Judge and Judicial*

Magistrate, Bhopalgarh” and the same was handed over by the concerned Court to respondent No. 5. However, the Demand Draft could not be encashed as it was not drawn in the name of respondent No. 5.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

In view of the above, the order dated 10.02.2026 stands modified to the extent that the petitioner shall prepare a new Demand Draft in the name of "*Mumtaj Ahmed Chisti*" (respondent No. 5) and deposit the same before the concerned Court within a period of two weeks from today. Upon such deposit, the learned Executing Court shall ensure disbursement of the said Demand Draft/Amount to respondent No. 5 in accordance with law.

The respondent No.5 shall deposit the previous demand draft prepared in the name of the Court with the Executing Court before disbursement of the new demand draft.

The application qua prayer for depositing fresh demand draft stands disposed of in above terms.

So far as prayer for continuation of execution proceedings qua other judgment-debtors is concerned, the same shall be considered on the next date of hearing.

List this matter after three weeks.

(MUKESH RAJPUROHIT),J