

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3149/2026

Sukha Ram S/o Padma Ram, Aged About 63 Years, R/o Kumharo
Ka Bas, Talanpur, Nagaur, Rajasthan 342902.

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Bank Of India, Through Its Nodal Officer, Branch Kharia
Khagar, Via Pipar Road, Jodhpur, 342606.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Tanay Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	--

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

12/02/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

"(i) Issue an appropriate writ, order, or direction in the nature, thereby directing the Respondent to immediately dereeze the bank account of the Petitioner bearing No.33905968505 with State Bank of India, Branch Kharia Khagar (IFSC Code – SBIN0009113), Via Pipar Road, Jodhpur – 342606, and to remove all lien/hold entries placed thereupon.

(ii) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature, thereby quashing and setting aside all communications, orders, and directions, if any, whereby the Petitioner's bank account has been frozen without furnishing any prior notice, opportunity of hearing, or disclosure of reasons.

(iii) Issue an appropriate writ, order, or direction to the Respondents to conclude the investigation, if any, within a time-bound manner, and in the meantime to permit the Petitioner to operate his bank account at least partially for meeting essential expenses such as family sustenance, educational fees, medical emergencies, and professional commitments.

(iv) Any other...."

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition

with a direction to the State Bank of India (Respondent) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J