

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 571/2026

1. Leela W/o Ranachod Ram, Aged About 27 Years, D/o Mohan Ram , R/o Deda Ji Ka Bera, Siwana District Barmer Raj
2. Ranachod Ram S/o Asala Ram, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Megavalo Ka Bas, Mawri, District Barmer Raj

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Home Affairs, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Superintend Of Police, District Balotra Raj
3. The Superintend Of Police, District Barmer Raj
4. The Station House Officer, Police Station Siwana, District Barmer.
5. Rosan Ram S/o Mohan Ram, R/o Deda Ji Ka Bera, Siwana District Barmer Raj
6. Dinesh S/o Mohan Ram, R/o Deda Ji Ka Bera, Siwana District Barmer Raj
7. Mohan Ram S/o Kana Ram, R/o Deda Ji Ka Bera, Siwana District Barmer Raj

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Govind Ram

For Respondent(s) : Mr. SS Rathore, Dy.G.A.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FARJAND ALI

Order

10/02/2026

1. The present Criminal Writ Petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of appropriate directions to the official respondents for providing them protection, on the ground that they apprehend

threat to their life and personal liberty at the hands of the private respondents.

2. As per the pleadings, the petitioners claim to be majors and of marriageable age and assert that they have solemnized their marriage on 04.02.2026. It is further stated that they are residing together as husband and wife and that the private respondents are opposed to the said marriage and are allegedly extending threats, giving rise to an apprehension to the life and personal liberty of the petitioners.

3. Upon perusal of the record, this Court is of the considered view that the right to life and personal liberty is a fundamental right guaranteed to every individual under the Constitution, and the same cannot be compromised under any circumstances. No person can be deprived of his or her life or personal liberty except in accordance with the procedure established by law and apprehension relating to life and personal liberty, if asserted, deserves to be examined by the competent authority. The assessment of threat perception and the necessity of protection are matters falling within the domain of the police authorities, who are duty bound to ensure maintenance of law and order and to prevent any person from taking the law into his or her own hands.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed with a direction that the petitioners shall appear before the concerned Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police, within a period of ten days from today and submit a representation clearly indicating the persons from whom they apprehend threat or harm. Upon such appearance, the concerned Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police shall afford an opportunity of

hearing to the petitioners and, if deemed necessary, to the concerned private respondents, examine the grievance, deliberate over the issue and calibrate the threat perception and, if the circumstances so warrant, pass appropriate orders in accordance with law so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners by the private respondents by taking law into their own hands. The concerned Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police may co-ordinate with police authorities of other districts if he deems it necessary.

5. It is clarified that this Court has not recorded any definitive finding with regard to the legitimacy of the relationship claimed by the petitioners, the validity of the alleged marriage or the genuineness of the documents relied upon by them, and all such aspects shall remain open for enquiry and investigation by the competent authority, in accordance with law. It is further made clear that any observation made herein shall not affect any civil or criminal proceedings, if any, pending or to be initiated in accordance with law.

6. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(FARJAND ALI),J