HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3077/2026

Dhapu W/o Rajesh, Aged About 40 Years, Resident Of House No. 77, Bhomiyaji Ka Than, Nandri Road, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through The Secretary, Finance Department, Secretariat, Delhi.
2. Regional Manager, Rajasthan Marudhara Gramin Bank (RMGB) Now Functioning As Rajasthan Gramin Bank (RGB), Zonal Office, 3Rd Floor, RMGb Tower, 9Th B Road, Near Children Park, Sardarpura, Jodhpur (Rajasthan).
3. The Branch Manager, Rajasthan Marudhara Gramin Bank (RMGB) Now Functioning As Rajasthan Gramin Bank (RGB), Branch Saran Nagar, Banar, Jodhpur (Rajasthan).

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ankit Bhaskar
For Respondent(s)	:	-

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

<u>Order</u>

<u>10/02/2026</u>

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

> *"It is therefore most humbly prayed that this writ petition may kindly be allowed:-*
> *A. That by an appropriate writ, order and direction, direct the respondents for releasing the whole amount of petitioner Account Number 83035624320 IFSC Code RMGB0000630 MICR Code 342348011.*
>
> *B. That by an appropriate writ, order and direction may kindly be quashed and set-aside notice/order dated 01.01.2026 (Annexure-3).*
>
> *C. That by an appropriate writ, order and direction, direct the respondent bank to pay damages and compensation for mentally and economic loss.*

> *D. That the any other relief, which this Hon'ble court deems fit to protect and naintained the healthy judicial system in state of Rajasthan, by which the petitioner may get full justice may also be allowed.*

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the Rajasthan Marudhara Gramin Bank (Respondent Nos. 2 & 3) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from her bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

44-sonia/-