

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 2981/2026

1. Ram Gopal Sharma S/o Chaju Lal Sharma, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Khuri Khurd, Post Bapi, District Dausa, Rajasthan (Clis)
2. Ganga Ram Mehta S/o Shri Hajari Lal Mehta, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Vpo Mahodra, Tehsil Sahabad, District Baran, Rajasthan (Blis)
3. Bharat Singh Ahir S/o Shri Kalayan Singh, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Vpo Mahodra, Tehsil Sahabad, District Baran, Rajasthan (Blis)
4. Satish Kumar Mehta S/o Laxman Lal Mehta, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Vpo Gadreta, Tehsil Sahabad, District Baran, Rajasthan (Blis)
5. Devendra Singh S/o Bharat Singh, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Musredi Tehsil Sahabad, District Baran, Rajasthan (Blis)
6. Roop Singh Mehta S/o Narayan Lal Mehta, Aged About 51 Years, R/o Village Sandokda, Tehsil Sahabad, District Baran, Rajasthan. (Blis)

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, School Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj.).
2. Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner.
3. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Dausa.
4. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Baran.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. VS Bhawla

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI
Order

12/02/2026

1. Grievance of the petitioners herein, arises out of the inaction/non-consideration on the part of the respondents to consider their claim of re-fixation of their monthly pay at the rate of Rs.16,900/- as against Rs.10,400/- which is being currently paid, notwithstanding that the Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan vide a letter dated 24.04.2023 recommended their case favourably to Deputy Secretary

(Admn.), Department of Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan.

2. It is stated that this Court in case of **Jassa Ram Choudhary and Ors. vs. State of Rajasthan and Ors. (S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.17901/2023)** decided on 09.11.2023 pursuant where to, similarly situated counterparts have been accorded benefit. They claim that despite their passing the requisite qualification of B.L.I.S., C.L.I.S & D.L.I.S., they are not being considered eligible for appointment as Panchayat Teachers in the Elementary Education Department in the higher pay bracket as aforesaid.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners at the outset submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if their representation(s) is decided by the respondent authorities in accordance with law.

4. Request seems to be fair.

5. Given the nature of order which is being passed, no prejudice would be caused to the respondents and, therefore, the requirement of issuance of notice is dispensed with as no return is required to be filed by them.

6. In the aforesaid premise, the writ petition is disposed of. The respondent competent authority is directed to decide the representation(s) to be submitted by the petitioners, the same be decided after passing an appropriate order, in accordance with law.

7. Needful be done as expeditiously as possible.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J