



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

2026:RJ-JD:7033

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3118/2026

1. Vikram Kumar Saini S/o Shri Jhabar Mal Saini, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Ponkh, Jhunjhunu.
2. Dinesh Kumar S/o Shri Rajendra Singh, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Ward No. 6, Swami Ki Dhani, Tehsil Lachhmangarh, Balaran, District Sikar.
3. Manohar Singh S/o Shri Dhunkal Singh, Aged About 36 Years, R/o 154, Inyara, Sujangarh, District Churu.
4. Kailash Kumar S/o Shri Shishpal Singh, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Pipli Khirwa, Laxmangarh, Lachhmangarh, District Sikar.
5. Anil Kumar S/o Shri Srawan Kumar, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Jhajharia Ki Dhani, Churu, Chirawa, Jhunjhunu.
6. Om Prakash S/o Shri Bal Dash, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Ward No. 03, Mundra, District Churu.
7. Tejpal Singh S/o Shri Inder Singh, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Mundra, District Churu.
8. Sukhdeva Ram Godara S/o Shri Purna Ram Godara, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Kanoota, Churu.
9. Rakesh Kumar S/o Shri Pokarmal, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Ward No. 13, Raghunathpura, Jhunjhunu.
10. Vikram Singh S/o Shri Ramswroop Jhajharia, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Juharpura, Jakhora, Jhunjhunu.
11. Vinod Kumar Mali S/o Shri Banwari Lal, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Sehla Marg, Daudsar, Churu.
12. Sumer Singh Bhamu S/o Shri Imi Chand, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Jigsana Tal, District Churu.
13. Bhawani Singh S/o Shri Bajarang Lal, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Neshal Chhoti, Rajgarh, Churu.
14. Pramod Singh S/o Shri Arjun Singh, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Somasi, Churu.
15. Sataveer Singh Sihag S/o Shri Lichhman Ram, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ward No. 13, Dendoo, Churu.
16. Lekhraj S/o Shri Gopi Ram, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Natas, Jhunjhunu.
17. Bhal Singh Pchar S/o Shri Daya Nand Pchar, Aged About



48 Years, R/o Khariya Godara, Rajgarh, Churu.

18. Vikas S/o Shri Dholu Ram, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Ward No. 08, Balasar, Nohar, Hanumangarh.
19. Ramesh Kumar S/o Shri Phool Singh, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Hameerwas Bara, District Churu.
20. Sandeep Harijan S/o Shri Sagar Ram Harijan, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Bas Jaiseka, Churu.
21. Raj Kumar S/o Shri Naphe Singh, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Hameerwas Chhota, Churu.
22. Mukesh Kumar S/o Shri Shish Ram Dhuwan, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Jhadsar Chhota, Churu.
23. Sunder Lal S/o Shri Mukanaram, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Khariya, Churu.
24. Vikram S/o Shri Mahaveer Singh, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Thimau Chhoti, Rajgarh, District Churu.
25. Pradeep Kumar S/o Shri Dhukal Singh, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Ward No. 12, Sonthli, Titanwar, Jhunjhunu.
26. Lokesh Kumar S/o Shri Nihal Singh, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Hameerwas Chhota, Rajgarh, District Churu.
27. Naveen Kumar S/o Shri Daya Chand Chahar, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Budhawas, Rajgarh, District Churu.
28. Krishan Kumar S/o Shri Mahipal, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Bhainsali, Churu.
29. Ajay Kumar S/o Shri Banwari Lal, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Vijayapura, Sankhu Fort, Churu.
30. Vijay Pal S/o Shri Amilal Poonia, Aged About 39 Years, R/o House No. 107, Jat Basti, Jhadsar Chhota, Churu.
31. Amit Nehara S/o Shri Bhanwar Lal Nehra, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Ramgarh, Tajsar, District Sikar.
32. Surendra Kumar S/o Shri Ramu Ram, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Ward No. 9, Tehsil Churu, Mandir Ke Pass, Dhakan, Lohsana Chhota, District Churu.
33. Bhoj Raj S/o Shri Ghisa Ram, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Village Bhukhredi, Churu.
34. Dinesh Singh S/o Shri Sanwar Singh, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Rajputo Ka Mohalla, Ward No. 4, Bajor, Sikar.
35. Mahesh Kumar Meghwal S/o Shri Rameshwar Meghwal,



Aged About 38 Years, R/o Ward No. 1, Kangar, Churu.

36. Arun Kumar S/o Shri Jagdish Prasad, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Churela, Jhunjhunu.
37. Sandeep Kumar S/o Shri Mahendra Singh, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Doongrana, District Hanumangarh.
38. Ranjeet Singh S/o Shri Govind Ram, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Rampura, Taranagar, Churu.
39. Prameshwar Lal Birda S/o Shri Ghadsiram, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Tanki Ke Pass, Derajsar, Churu.
40. Ranjeet S/o Shri Lal Chand, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Ward No. 5, Dhewa Ki Dhani, Girdharpura, Shahpura, Jhunjhunu.
41. Kamlesh Jangir S/o Shri Laxminarayan Jangir, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Ward No. 17, Tilak Nagar, Ratangarh, District Churu.
42. Ram Niwas Dhaka S/o Shri Keshar Lal, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Barjangsar, Ratangarh, District Churu.
43. Vikash Kumar Barala S/o Shri Mahender Barala, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Mainpura, Udaipurwati, Jhunjhunu.
44. Banshilal Chopra S/o Shri Gordhan Ram, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Vpo Dungrana, District Hanumangarh.
45. Ajay Singh S/o Shri Vijay Singh, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Bass Sangar, Taranagar, District Churu.
46. Manish Kumar Soni S/o Shri Chunni Lal Soni, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Chandani Chowk, House No. 101, Jasasar, Churu.
47. Mukesh Kumar S/o Shri Shreeram,, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Ward No. 4, Gorir, Khetri, Jhunjhunu.
48. Dharmveer Singh S/o Shri Sher Singh, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Bairasar Bara, Churu.
49. Anil Kumar S/o Shri Rajendra Prasad, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Seegra, Jhnunjhunu.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Medical And Health Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.



2. The Mission Director (Nhm), Directorate Of Medical Health And Family Welfare Services, Swasthya Bhawan, C-Scheme, Tilak Marg, Jaipur.
3. The Director (Public Health), Medical And Health Services, Rajasthan, Swasthya Bhawan, C-Scheme, Tilak Marg, Jaipur.
4. The Director (Non-Gazetted), Medical And Health Services, Rajasthan, Tilak Marg, Swasthya Bhawan, Jaipur.
5. The Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
6. The Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
7. The Secretary, General Administration Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Jayram Saran

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

09/02/2026

1. At the request of the learned counsel for the petitioners, the matter has been heard for final disposal at the admission stage itself.
2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the facts in the present case is similar to the facts in D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11737/2024 (**Rodu Lal & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.**), decided on 26.08.2025.
3. The relevant paragraphs of the order dated 26.08.2025 passed in the case of *Rodu Lal (Supra)* reads as follows:-

"41. In light of the aforesaid facts & findings and the judgments, this Court is of the opinion that Rule 3 of the Rules



of 2022 has to be read harmoniously, whereby, the petitioners and similarly situated persons, who have been appointed through placement agency after issuance of public advertisement are to be covered under the ambit of Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022. Since, the above rule has been read harmoniously in favour of the petitioners, therefore, there is no requirement to decide question No. (b), which was framed under para 13. The harmonious reading of the Rule itself clarifies that, there ought to be no discrimination between the contractual employees appointed through placement agency as well as the contractual employees appointed directly.

42. For the aforesaid reasons, the writ petitions are allowed in the following terms: (i) The respondents shall consider the individual case of each contractual employee, appointed prior to enforcement of the Rules of 2022 strictly in accordance with Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022, meaning thereby, that if an employee has been appointed on a post created by the Administrative Department with the concurrence of the Finance Department and the appointment has been through issuance of a public advertisement further without there being any differentiation whether the public advertisement has been issued by the State Government or by the placement agency. (ii) If the case of the individual is in conformation with the Rule 3 of the Rules of 2022, as interpreted above, then the benefit of the Rules of 2022 shall be extended to such petitioners.

43. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of."

4. In light of the order cited above, the present writ petition is disposed of in the same terms as in the case of **Rodu Lal & Ors. (supra)**. The petitioners shall be at liberty to make a representation before the concerned authorities within a period of 15 days. On such representation, the concerned authorities are directed to dispose of the same in light of the decision passed in case of *Rodu Lal* within a period of two months from the date of receipt of this order.

5. All the pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J