

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 2874/2026

Kapil Rewar S/o Premaram, Aged About 27 Years, Rajod, Po
Rajod, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary,
Department Of Finance, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Reserve Bank of India, Through Its Regional Director,
Jaipur.
3. Punjab National Bank, Through Its Bank Manager, Branch
Jayal, District Nagaur, Near Purana Bus Stand Jayal,
District Nagaur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Priyank Kewalia Mr. Ajit Singh Choudhary
For Respondent(s)	:	--

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR
Order

09/02/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

"It is, therefore, humbly prayed that the present Writ Petition may kindly be allowed and by an appropriate, order or direction-

*(i) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, in the nature of Mandamus, directing the respondents to immediately defreeze the petitioner's savings account bearing No. 9821000100097128, Punjab National Bank, Branch Jayal, District Nagaur. **(Annexure-2)***

(ii) Declare the action of freezing the account as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional, being violative of the petitioner's fundamental and statutory rights.

(iii) Direct the respondents not to freeze or restrict the petitioner's account in future except in strict compliance with statutory provisions and only upon due notice to the petitioner;

(iv) Any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court deems just and proper may kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner.

(v) Cost of the writ petition may kindly be awarded to the petitioner."

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the Punjab National Bank (Respondent No.3) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J