

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Writ Contempt No. 206/2025

Shri Ramesh Chandra Barmera S/o Smt. Kishan Kanwar, Aged About 75 Years, (Retd. District And Sessions Judge) Aged 74 Years, R/o House No. 103, Ii-A Road Sardarpura, Opposite Sindhu Bhawan, Jodhpur.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Shri Sudhansh Pant, Through The Chief Secretary, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Building, Jaipur.
2. Shri Dev Raj, The Director Pension And Pensioners Welfare Department Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Shri Om Prakash, The Additional /joint Director Pension And Pensioners Welfare Department Rajasthan, Jodhpur.
4. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Chief Secretary, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Building, Jaipur.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Raj Kamal Soni
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Harshvardhan Singh for Mr. Mahaveer Bishnoi, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINIT KUMAR MATHUR

Order

14/07/2025

The present contempt petition has been filed for non-compliance of the order dated 02.02.2024 passed in CWP No.1558/2023.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that although in compliance of the order dated 02.02.2024, the respondents have issued an order on 07.04.2025 but the same is not in conformity with the provisions of Notification dated 08.05.2005. He, therefore, submits that the respondents have willfully disobeyed

the orders passed by this Court and, therefore, action as per the Contempt of Courts Act may be taken against them.

Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of the order passed by this Court on 02.02.2024, the respondents have considered the representation of the petitioner keeping in mind the Notification dated 08.05.2005 and after having considered the same, a detailed speaking order dated 07.04.2025 has been passed. He, therefore, prays that the contempt petition may be dismissed.

I have considered the submissions made at the Bar and gone through the relevant record of the case including the order dated 07.04.2025.

The direction of this Court was only to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner in light of the Notification dated 08.05.2005. In compliance of the order dated 02.02.2024, the respondents have passed the speaking order dated 07.04.2025 deciding the representation of the petitioner in light of the Notification dated 08.05.2005.

In the considered opinion of this Court, no case for willful disobedience is made out.

Accordingly, the contempt petition is dismissed. Notices are discharged.

(VINIT KUMAR MATHUR),J