

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Appeal (Sb) No. 239/2026

1.

Kulvindra Singh S/o Jaloor Singh, Aged About 32 Years, Lambidhab, Police Station Sangariya, District Hanumangarh (Raj.) (Presently Lodged In Distt. Jail, Hanumangarh)
2.

Ravindra Singh S/o Sukhmandar Singh, Aged About 38 Years, Lambidhab, Police Station Sangariya, District Hanumangarh (Raj.) (Presently Lodged In Distt. Jail, Hanumangarh)

----Appellants

Versus

1.

State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor
2.

Jagtar Singh S/o Daleep Singh, Aged About 36 Years, Lambidhab, Police Station Sangariya, Distt. Hanumangarh

----Respondents

For Appellant(s)	:	Mr. Suresh Kumbhat Mr. Naman Bhansali Mr. Meetaksh Dadhich
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Surendra Bishnoi, PP Mr. Ranjeet Singh Chouhan for complainant

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL

Order

26/02/2026

1.

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked by way of filing an appeal under Section 14-A(2) of SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, at the instance of accused-appellants. The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	34/2026

2.	Concerned Police Station	Sangriya
3.	District	Hqanumangarh
4.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Sections 333, 115(2), 3(5), 324(2) of the BNS and 3(2) (va) and 3(1)(S) of the SC/ST Act.
5.	Offences as per charge-sheet	Sections 333, 115(2), 3(5), 117(2) of the BNS and 3(2) (va) and 3(1)(S) of the SC/ST Act.
6.	Date of passing of impugned order	28.01.2026

2. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that no case for the alleged offences is made out against them and their incarceration is not warranted. There are no factors at play in the case at hand that may work against grant of bail to the accused-appellants and they have been made accused based on conjectures and surmises.
- 2.1 He further submits that the appellants were arrested on 27.01.2026. While referring to the injury report, he submits that total 4 injuries are said to have been inflicted upon the injured and out of 4 injuries, injury Nos.1 and 4 are grievous in nature and injury Nos.2 and 3 are found to be simple in nature. The injured, in his statement, has not assigned any specific role to any of the appellants or the accused persons. The investigation has been completed and the challan has been filed.
- 2.2 Based on these submissions, it is argued that the appellants, who are presently in judicial custody, are entitled to be enlarged on bail as the trial will take sufficiently long time to conclude.

3. Contrary to the submissions of learned counsel for the appellants, learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the complainant oppose the appeal and submit that the present case is not fit for enlargement of accused on bail.
4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.
5. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for both the parties; the facts and circumstances of the case; the challan papers and the fact that appellant is in judicial custody since 27.01.2026; there are omnibus allegations against the appellants and no specific role has been assigned for inflicting the injuries which are said to be grievous in nature, this Court is of the considered view that no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the appellants behind bars for an indefinite period. Thus, without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the appeal filed by the appellants deserves to be allowed.
6. Consequently, the instant appeal is allowed. The impugned order dated 28.01.2026 passed by the learned Special Judge, SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Cases, Hanumangarh, is set aside. It is ordered that the accused-appellants- **Kulvindra Singh S/o Jaloor Singh** and **Ravindra Singh S/o Sukhmandar Singh**, arrested in connection with aforesaid FIR, shall be released on bail, provided they furnish a personal bond of Rs.50,000/- each and two sureties of Rs. 25,000/- each to the satisfaction of

the learned trial Court with the stipulation to appear before that Court on all dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

7. It is however, made clear that findings recorded/observations made above are for limited purposes of adjudication of bail application. The trial court shall not get prejudiced by the same.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J

59-ajayS/-