

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 1695/2026

Rohit S/o Bhagirath Shakya (Koli), Aged About 24 Years,
Resident Of Near Ram Mandir, Sabalgarh, Police Station
Sabalgarh, District Morena (M.P.). at Present Resident Of Near
Kheradi, Guljar Nagar, Police Station Bhimganj, Bhilwara, At
Present Residing As A Tenant In The House Of Shankar Gurjar,
Near Shani Maharaj Mandir, Labor Colony, Bhilwara, Police
Station Pratapnagar, Bhilwara (Raj)
(Presently Lodged In District Jail, Bhilwara)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, through PP
2. Babita Devi W/o Chirtranjan Singh, R/o Labour Colony,
Sabji Mandi, Pratap Nagar Bhilwara District Bhilwara,
Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Bharat Gurjar
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Hathi Singh Jodha, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

25/02/2026

1. The petitioner has preferred this bail application under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.497/2025 registered at Police Station Pratapnagar, District Bhilwara for offence under Sections 137(2), 87, 127(4), 127(6), 65(1), 64(2)(m), 70(2) of BNS and Sections 3/4(2), 5(L)/6, 5(G)/6 of POCSO Act, 2012.
2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the age of the victim is around 17 years and whereas the age of

the petitioner is 24 years at the time of incident. He argued that the victim in her statements recorded during investigation under Section 180 and 183 BNSS has stated nothing incriminating against the present petitioner and said that she knows the petitioner, as the petitioner is her neighbour and she has gone with the petitioner at her own free will. Thus, matter is purely of consensual in nature. He again submits that the petitioner is behind the bars since 19.10.2025 and charge-sheet has been filed, and the trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in further custody and the bail application of the petitioner may be allowed.

4. No one is appeared on behalf of the complainant/victim despite service.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application.

6. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in the light of submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioner.

7. Consequently, the bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is allowed and it is directed that the petitioner **Rohit S/o Bhagirath Shakya (Koli)**, be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that he shall appear before



that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J

BMG/Parshant/196