

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 1620/2026

Manohar Singh S/o Alsingh, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Bikendri,
Presently At Chak 10 M.k.d., Bhaluri, Police Station Ranjeetpura,
District Bikaner. (Lodged In Bikaner Jail)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Swaroop Singh Mr. Anil Gupta
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Prem Singh, PP Mr. Kaushal Gautam for complainant

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEER BHATNAGAR

Order

23/03/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed under Section 483 BNSS on behalf of accused-petitioner. The accused-petitioner has been arrested in connection with FIR No. 191/2025 registered at Police Station Bajju, District Bikaner for the offences under Sections 64(2)(m), 62, 61(2) of the BNS and Section 67 of IT Act.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case for the offences under Section 64(2)(m) and 332-B of IPC. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the prosecutrix is a major lady; it is alleged in the FIR that the petitioner along with 13 persons committed rape with her, however, the Police after thorough investigation, did not file charge-sheet against the other persons named in the FIR and

charge-sheet has been filed only against the present petitioner. He further argued that the Police after thorough investigation also found that prosecutrix communicated with the petitioner through mobile several times and the call details confirming the said fact that prosecutrix has called the petitioner about 768 times. It is also contended that in the FIR, it is also alleged that prior to the present incident, petitioner also committed rape with her about one and half years back. The accused-petitioner is in custody since long and charge-sheet has already been filed. The trial of the case may take considerable time, there are no criminal antecedents against the accused-petitioner, therefore, the bail application of the accused-petitioner may be allowed.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor as well as counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the bail application.

4. Heard and perused the material available on record.

5. Considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, contents of the FIR and more particularly the fact that the other co-accused who were named in the FIR have been exonerated by the Police and the fact that the accused-petitioner is in custody since long and the trial of the case may take considerable time, there are no criminal antecedents against the accused-petitioner, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, I deem it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioner on bail.

6. Accordingly, the bail application under Section 483 BNSS is allowed and it is ordered that the accused-petitioner **Manohar Singh S/o Alsingh**, in connection with FIR No. 191/2025 registered at Police Station Bajju, District Bikaner shall be

enlarged on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Judge for his appearance before the court concerned on all the dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

(PRAVEER BHATNAGAR),J

44-amit/-