

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Suspension of Sentence Application No.33/2026

in

S.B. Criminal Revision Petition No. 136/2026

Govind Singh S/o Ram Singh, Aged About 58 Years, 29,
Brahampole, District Udaipur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Harshit Goyal

For Respondent(s) : Mr. SR Choudhary, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FARJAND ALI

Order

24/02/2026

1. The instant application for suspension of sentence has been moved on behalf of the applicant in the matter of judgment dated 06.10.2016 passed by the learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Dungarpur in Regular Case No.414/2004 whereby he was convicted and sentenced to suffer maximum imprisonment of 3 years under Sections 467/120-B & 468/120-B of IPC (along with fine of Rs.3,000/- and in default further to undergo 3 months RI) and lesser punishment for the other offences under Sections 471/120-B & 417/120-B of IPC.

2. It is contended on behalf of the applicant that the learned trial Judge has not appreciated the correct, legal and factual aspects of the matter and thus, reached at an erroneous conclusion of guilt, therefore, the same is required to be

appreciated again by this court. Hearing of the revision is likely to take long time, therefore, the application for suspension of sentence may be granted.

3. Per contra, learned public prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer made on behalf of the accused-applicant for releasing the petitioner on application for suspension of sentence.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

5. Considering the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and looking to the totality of facts and circumstances of the case, more particularly the hearing of revision is likely to take further more time and considering the overall submissions while refraining from passing any comments on the niceties of the matter and the defects of the prosecution as the same may put an adverse effect on hearing of the revision, this court is of the opinion that it is a fit case for suspending the sentence awarded to the accused-petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the application for suspension of sentence filed under Section 397/401 Cr.P.C. is allowed and it is ordered that the sentence passed by learned trial court, the details of which are provided in the first para of this order, against the appellant-applicant named above shall remain suspended till final disposal of the aforesaid revision subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/-with two sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Judge and whenever ordered to

do so till the disposal of the revision on the conditions indicated below:-

- (1) That he will appear before the trial Court in the month of January of every year till the revision is decided.
 - (2) That if the applicant changes the place of residence, he will give in writing his changed address to the trial Court as well as to the counsel in the High Court.
 - (3) Similarly, if the sureties change their addresses, they will give in writing their changed address to the trial Court.
7. The learned trial Court shall keep the record of attendance of the accused-applicant in a separate file. Such file be registered as Criminal Misc. Case related to original case in which the accused-applicant was tried and convicted. A copy of this order shall also be placed in that file for ready reference. Criminal Misc. file shall not be taken into account for statistical purpose relating to pendency and disposal of cases in the trial court. In case the said accused applicant does not appear before the trial court, the learned trial Judge shall report the matter to the High Court for cancellation of bail.

(FARJAND ALI),J