

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 2126/2023

Kanhaiya Lal

----Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan & Ors.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Chirag Khatri
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. S.R. Paliwal, GC
		Mr. K.L. Chauhan
		Mr. Rohin Bhansali (Applicant)

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

14/01/2026

1. Heard on Application (No.02/2025) filed by the applicant- Dinesh Singh under Order I Rule 10 of CPC for impleading him as a party respondent in the writ petition.
2. In the application, it is submitted that the applicant, being the recipient of Shaurya Padak was allotted a 25 Bigha land at Murabba No.195/11 of Chak No.30 SGS on 06.03.2019 under Rajasthan Shaurya Medal (Cash Award & Land Grant) Rules, 1966. He further submits that the petitioner in the pleadings of the writ petition has claimed that the land in question i.e. land at Murabba No.195/11 of Chak No.30 SGS, belongs to him, as he has alleged that the petitioner along with his joint family, is having an ancestral land since 25.03.1954 in Khasra No.54-55, which was converted in summary Khasra No.48, 47, 48/973, 48/29 and 55, covered under the Indira Gandhi Canal Project and thus, new Chakbandi and Murba have been numbered as Chak Nos.174/64, 175/57, 175/50, 175/49, 175/42, 175/43, 175/58, 195/01, 195/02, 195/03, 195/04, 195/05, 195/10, **195/11** (applicant's

land), 195/12, 195/13, 195/14, 195/19, 195/20, 195/21, 195/22, 195/23, 195/24, 195/27, 195/28, 195/29, 195/30, 19/31, 195/32, 195/36, 195/37, 195/38, 195/40, 195/44, 195/06, 195/26, 196/25, 196/33.

3. Counsel for the applicant further submits that the petitioner has filed a contempt petition bearing No.1429/2025 before this Court; in which, the applicant has been impleaded as a party respondent/contemnor; whereas, in the writ petition, he has not been impleaded as a party respondent. He submits that since the petitioner is claiming his possession over the applicant's land, which is at Murabba No.195/11 of Chak No.30 SGS, thus, the applicant is a necessary and proper party for the adjudication of the *lis*.

4. Counsel representing the petitioner opposes and submits that the applicant cannot be said to be a necessary party to the *lis*, as the suit was filed in the year 2013; whereas, the applicant has been allotted the land in question in the year 2019; particularly on 06.03.2019.

5. For the reasons indicated in the application (No.02/2025) and also taking into consideration the fact that applicant is claiming the land in question to be in his name; whereas, the petitioner claims the said land in question to be in his possession, this Court deems it appropriate to implead the applicant-Dinesh Singh as a proper and necessary party in the instant writ petition.

6. Thus, the application (No.02/2025) filed by the counsel for the applicant-Dinesh Singh is allowed. Counsel representing the petitioner is granted two weeks' time to file the amended cause title and place the same at appropriate place in the file.

7. Issue fresh notice to the proposed Legal Representatives of the respondent No.6-Late Smt. Kamla Devi.
8. Applications (No.01/2023, 02/2024 and 01/2026) filed by counsel representing the petitioner as well as counsel representing the applicant for early hearing of the writ petition, are disposed of.
9. The matter is adjourned.
10. Interim order, if any, to continue till next date.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J

43-/Devesh/-