

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 1376/2026

Chhagan Lal S/o Girdhari Lal, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Raghunathpur Police Station Suratgarh Sadar District Sriganganagar Raj. (At Present Lodged At Central Jail Sriganganagar)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Hanuman S/o Kirshna Lal, R/o 9 Ksd Police Station Sameja Koth Suratgarh I District Sriganganagar

----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 959/2026

Madanlal S/o Pappuram, Aged About 22 Years, 2 Knd Police Station Rawla Mandi District Sriganganagar (Presently Lodged In Central Jail Sri Ganganagar)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Hanuman S/o Krishan Lal, Aged About 45 Years, 9 Ksd Mokamwala Police Station Sameja Kothi District Sriganganagar

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rajesh Saharan Mr. Jayant Garg
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Sameer Pareek, PP
For complainant(s)	:	Mr. P.K. Rawla for Mr. Devi Lal Rawla

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

23/02/2026

1. The petitioners have filed these bail applications under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.199/2025 registered at Police

Station Sameja Kothi District Sriganaganagar for offence under Sections 65(1), 70(2), 87, 137(2) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 3/4(20, 5(g)/6 of POCSO Act.

2. Since both these bail applications arise out of common FIR, hence, they are being decided by this common order.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegation against the present petitioners is that they assisted the principal accused, Juvenile 'P', in the commission of the offence at the time of the incident. There is no allegation of penetrative sexual assault against the present petitioners. He further submitted that the principal accused, Juvenile 'P', has already been granted bail by this Hon'ble Court on 18.02.2026. Additionally, both petitioners have been in custody since 14.12.2025. The testimony of the victim 'I' has already been recorded before the competent criminal court; therefore, there is no likelihood of the petitioners influencing the victim or tampering with the material evidence. The trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners in further custody and the bail application of the petitioners may be allowed.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application.

6. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in the light of submission made by learned counsel for the petitioners but without expressing any opinion on

merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioners.

7. Consequently, the bail applications under Section 483 B.N.S.S. are allowed and it is directed that the petitioners **Chhagan Lal S/o Girdhari Lal** and **Madanlal S/o Pappuram**, be released on bail provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that each of them shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J