

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1866/2026

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Sanskrit Education Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Commissioner, Sanskrit Education Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. The Director, Sanskrit Education Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
4. The Divisional Sanskrit Education Officer, Sanskrit Education Department, Bikaner.
5. The Principal, Government Varitstha Upadhyay Sanskrit School, 5 Chm, Kakadwala, Bikaner, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

Manisha D/o Shri Muniram Vishnoi, Employee Id-Rjbi202009024758, Presently Working On The Post Of Lecturer English Compulsory, Government Varishtha Upadhyay Sanskrit School, 5 Chm, Kakadwala, Bikaner, Rajasthan. Resident Of Gali No. 03, Jambheshwar Nagar, Murlidhar Vyas Colony, Bikaner, Rajasthan.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Digvijay Singh Sodha a/w Mr. Samarjeet Singh Bhati on behalf of Mr. Kamlesh Sharma, AGC.
For Respondent(s)	:	--

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUNNURI LAXMAN

Order

29/01/2026

1. Heard on the interim stay application.
2. The present writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned order dated 27.10.2025 (Annex.4) passed by the Rajasthan Civil Services Appellate Tribunal, Jodhpur whereby, a

direction was issued to the petitioner to relieve the applicant from the post in pursuance of the transfer order dated 23.09.2025 (Annex.3).

3. The contention of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners is that without there being any transfer order in respect of the applicant, the direction was issued to the petitioners to relieve the applicant. It is also submitted that in fact, in the transfer order dated 23.09.2025 (Annex.3), there was no mention of the applicant's name in the transfer order issued by the petitioners.

4. Therefore, *prima facie*, this Court feels that there cannot be any direction to relieve the applicant without there being any transfer order in respect of the present applicant. In fact, the transfer order dated 23.09.2025 (Annex.3) does not contain the name of the present applicant (respondent herein) in the transfer list issued by the petitioners. Therefore, the impugned order is liable to be stayed.

5. In such circumstances, there shall be a stay on the impugned order dated 27.10.2025 (Annex.4).

6. Issue notice to the respondent, returnable within a period of four weeks.

7. List the matter on **18.03.2026**.

(MUNNURI LAXMAN),J