

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1726/2026

1. Sahiram S/o Moman Ram, Aged About 70 Years, R/o Village Billu Bas Rampura, Tehsil Sardarsahar, District Churu.
2. Baldev S/o Moman Ram, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Village Billu Bas Rampura, Tehsil Sardarsahar, District Churu.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. Dharampal S/o Kheta Ram, R/o Village Billu Bas Mahiyan, Tehsil Sardarsahar, District Churu.
2. Harman S/o Kheta Ram, R/o Village Billu Bas Mahiyan, Tehsil Sardarsahar, District Churu.
3. Mahavir S/o Nanu Ram, R/o Village Billu Bas Mahiyan, Tehsil Sardarsahar, District Churu.
4. Kheta Ram S/o Nirana Ram, R/o Village Billu Bas Mahiyan, Tehsil Sardarsahar, District Churu.
5. The Tehsildar, Bhanipura, District Churu.
6. The District Collector, Churu.
7. The Board Of Revenue, Ajmer.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ramawatar Singh with
Mr. Amit Saran

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT

Order

23/02/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that earlier the proceedings under Section 251-A of the Rajasthan Tenancy Act, 1955 were being undertaken by the Tehsildar, Sardarsahar, Churu, however, later on the same was transferred to Tehsildar Bhanipura.

2. While referring to the order-sheets of the case, it is stated that information with regard to transfer of the file was not within the knowledge of the petitioners and their counsel. It is stated that even Tehsildar, Bhanipura proceeded ex-parte without serving notice after transfer of file and ordered to create a way upon the land of the petitioners. The said aspect was not considered by the Appellate as well as Revisional Court.

3. Matter requires consideration.

4. Issue notice to the respondents. Issue notice of stay application, returnable within four weeks.

5. In the meanwhile, status quo with regard to the land in question shall be maintained.

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J