

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1639/2026

1. Deepak Kumar S/o Bherumal, Aged About 49 Years, R/o Khanpurawas, Jalore, Rajasthan.
2. Mamta D/o Bherumal, Aged About 48 Years, R/o Khanpurawas, Jalore, Rajasthan.
3. Anita D/o Bherumal, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Khanpurawas, Jalore, Rajasthan.
4. Babita D/o Bherumal, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Khanpurawas, Jalore, Rajasthan.
5. Bhupesh S/o Bherumal, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Khanpurawas, Jalore, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Urban Development And Housing Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Rajasthan Housing Board, Through Its Managing Director And Chairman, Jyoti Nagar, Jaipur.
3. The Resident Engineer, Rajasthan Housing Board, Division-I, Chopasani Housing Board, Sector 11, Jodhpur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Rajeev Purohit
For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT

Order

24/02/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are having agriculture land bearing khasra No. 5270 of Revenue Village Jalore B. Notice was issued to Mr. Ambalal under Section 9 (3) of Land Acquisition Act, 1894. After the death of Smt. Methi, Khasra No. 5270 were mutated in the name of

Ambalal. The petitioners are legal representatives of Ambalal are in possession and peacefully cultivating the land. It is submitted that the said land was acquired by award dated 14.08.2013 for the purpose of constructing residential houses by the Rajasthan Housing Board.

2. In the said acquisition proceedings, the land belonging to the petitioners was also included.

3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that in the said acquisition proceedings an option for 25% of developed land (20% residential and 5% commercial) in lieu of compensation was submitted and the same was accepted which is apparent from the award dated 14.08.2013.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that till date petitioners have not been granted compensation despite the award being passed in 2013, that is almost 12 years ago. It is submitted that to the utter shock and surprise the respondent-authorities without making compensation have issued the work order on 30.06.2025 wherein they are proposing to raise a boundary wall on the acquired land.

5. It is submitted that if the respondents are allowed to proceed then petitioners would be dispossessed without appropriately compensating them.

6. In view of the submissions made, issue notice to respondents as well as of the stay application, returnable within a period of four weeks.

7. Notices be given 'dasti' to learned counsel for the petitioner.

8. Meanwhile respondent may raise boundary wall in pursuance of the work order dated 30.06.2025 while ensuring that possession of petitioner over land in question is not disturbed.

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J

45-JatinS/-