



273

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CWP-38416-2025 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 25.03.2026****PRIYANKA****.....PETITIONER(S)****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.****...RESPONDENT(S)****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. BS. Rathee, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. R.D. Sharma, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Gurnoor Sandhu, Advocate for respondent No.3-HPSC.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for quashing the impugned result dated 05-02-2025 (Annexure P-21) qua General Category against the post in question, since the respondent Commission has not considered the candidature of the petitioner in General Category illegally and arbitrarily as per her marks scored in Screening Test, as she scored more marks than the last selected candidates in General Category in Screening Test. The respondent Commission has also illegally not declared the result of petitioner even after the disposal of LPAs on 03-11-2025 (Annexure P-25). The action of the respondent Commission is totally illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and deserves to be set aside.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the present petition in view of paragraphs No. 3 and 4 of the affidavit dated 18 March 2026, which read as under :-



3. That the claim of the petitioner that the last selected candidate of general category has scored 36.27 marks is absolutely wrong. As per Commission's record, the marks secured by last selected candidate under the General/Unreserved category are 39.17. Since the Petitioner has scored 36.71 marks, therefore she has not been considered in general/UR category. Moreover it is essential to mention here that the petitioner had applied in BC-B category, however since she failed to submit a valid BC-B Category certificate as per the terms and conditions of the advertisement (i.e certificate issued as per notification No. 491-SW(1) 2021 dated 17.11.2021 & Govt. instruction No. 22/132/2013-1GS-III dated 22.03.2022 issued by the Competent Authority during 2024-25 only) therefore her candidature was rejected vide letter dated 14.01.2025 (P-19).

4. That the petitioner has prepared annexure P-20 as per her limited knowledge and it does not reflect the true picture. It is submitted that it is wrongly mentioned by the petitioner in Annexure P-20 that Vikrant (Roll No. 16270) who has secured 36.27 marks is the last candidate of general category. It is submitted that Vikrant (Roll No. 16270) has been selected under General DESM Category and not under General/Unreserved. Similarly candidate namely Shallu (Roll No. 11575) who has secured 36.41 marks has been selected under General PwBD (OH) Category and not under General/Unreserved Category. The petitioner is thus clearly misrepresenting before this Hon'ble High Court and therefore the present writ petition is liable to be dismissed with costs.

The prayer is accepted.

The petition stands dismissed as withdrawn. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to challenge the selection, if, upon verification of the revised result dated 16 March 2026, he is found to be more meritorious than the last selected candidate under the General unreserved category.

25.03.2026
anuradha

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No