



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-72367 of 2025
Date of Decision: 22.12.2025

Arshveer Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present: Mr. Amitoj Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravinder Singh, DAG, Punjab.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS) is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.133 dated 06.10.2024 registered under Section 21 of NDPS Act, at Police Station Kot Ise Khan, District Moga.

2. Brief facts, of the present petition are that the petitioner was apprehended with 50 grams Heroin in the present FIR and was granted regular bail by the learned trial court vide order dated 30.10.2024. However, later on, the petitioner could not appear before the trial court and his bail was cancelled vide order dated 21.08.2025, followed by issuance of non-bailable warrants of arrest.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was regularly appearing before the learned trial court pursuant to bail granted by the learned trial court. He further submits that the non-appearance of the petitioner was not intentional but due to the fact that he has been admitted at de-addiction centre for treatment since 15.07.2025. To



lend force to his argument, he relies upon Annexure P-9. He further submits that before cancellation of bail, the petitioner was granted exemption thrice by the learned trial court. He submits that since the non-appearance of petitioner was un-intentional, hence, the present petition be allowed.

4. Mr. Ravinder Singh, DAG Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that the bail of petitioner was cancelled solely on the ground of absence of petitioner, however he could not dispute the fact that he was already on bail and had been regularly appearing before the trial court.

5. In view of the above, especially the fact that the petitioner was already granted regular bail by the learned trial Court and was regularly appearing before the learned trial Court until his non-appearance which was due to the fact that the petitioner had been admitted at de-addiction centre, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is granted concession of anticipatory bail and he shall be released on bail by the Investigating Officer subject to his furnishing bail bonds/ surety bonds to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer. However, it is further directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) BNSS.

22.12.2025

Mohit...

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No