



**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-9772-2025

Date of Decision:24.12.2025

M/S DELHI VENTURES LIMITED

...Petitioner

Vs.

M/S RC SOOD AND COMPANY (P) LTD. AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Sunil Chadha, Advocate with
Mr. Akshay Chadha, Advocate and
Ms. Kashish Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India seeking appropriate directions to the Court of learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Faridabad, for disposing of the Civil Suit bearing No. CS-4195-2015 titled as R.C. Sood & Company pvt. Ltd. Vs. M/s R. Kant & Company and others, which is pending before the said Court, now fixed for 27.01.2026, in a time bound manner.

2. Learned senior counsel representing the petitioner submits that in the suit for partition, the preliminary decree was passed and attained finality in the year 2001. Subsequently, the Local Commissioner submitted a report suggesting the mode of partition, along with a supplementary report in April 2011. Despite the finality of the preliminary decree and the submission of the reports long back, the matter has been repeatedly adjourned without any meaningful progress. The petitioner has brought to



notice that as many as 157 interim orders have been passed over a period exceeding 24 years, causing inordinate and unjustified delay in the disposal of the case. Such prolonged delay has caused prejudice to the petitioner and undermines the very purpose of timely adjudication of disputes. In view of the above, the petitioner seeks appropriate directions to the learned Additional Civil Judge to dispose of the application for the final decree in a time-bound manner, thereby ensuring that the matter is concluded without any further avoidable delay..

3. A perusal of the paper-book reveals that proceedings for the final decree have been pending for nearly 24 years without being finalized. The nature of proceedings for a final decree is akin to that of execution of a decree. Execution proceedings are required to be disposed of expeditiously to ensure that the parties to the lis are able to reap the fruits of the decree without undue delay.

4. In the present case, there has been inordinate delay in the disposal of the application for passing the final decree. It is not in dispute that there are a total of 673 defendants, and consequently, the task of the Civil Court is indeed enormous. Further, there are a number of objection petitions that require adjudication. However, notwithstanding the complexity of the litigation and the large number of parties involved, every dispute is required to attain finality in accordance with law. Considering the nature of the litigation and the need to balance the interests of all parties, the present petition is **disposed of** with directions to the learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division) to finally dispose of the application for passing of the final decree within a period of nine months from the date next fixed,



ensuring that all proceedings are conducted expeditiously and in accordance with law.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

24.12.2025

kv

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>