



2026:PHHC:047685

CRM-M No.71323 of 2025 (O&M) -1-

2026:PHHC:047685



126

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.71323 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.03.2026**

Jethu Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Sagar Panghal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Diya Sodhi, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Complainant-Dheeraj Yadav in person (through VC).

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

CRM-12973-2025

CRM-12974-2025

Allowed as prayed for.

CRM-M No.71323 of 2025

1. Present petition has been filed praying for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.36, dated 13.08.2024, under Sections 318(4), 61(2) of BNS, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime Rewari, District Rewari.

2. Succinctly, the facts of the case are that FIR in the present case was got registered on the statement of complainant, namely, Dheeraj Yadav. It was alleged that on 05.08.2024, the complainant saw an



2026:PHHC:047685

CRM-M No.71323 of 2025 (O&M) -2-

2026:PHHC:047685



advertisement on the facebook and after clicked on it, the complainant received a message on his telegram account, in which his date of birth and place of residence was demanded. After some time, the complainant again received a message from another telegram account, which offered him a part time job and provided him a link. It was alleged that the complainant was asked to submit a review on that trading account and in return, he was paid an amount of Rs.699/-. On 06.08.2024, the complainant was asked to deposit an amount of Rs.10,000/- and after submitting 30 reviews, he was returned an amount of Rs.17,000/-. Thereafter, between 06.08.2024 to 08.08.2024, the complainant was asked to deposit various amounts on the pretext of submitting reviews of trading accounts and thus, he was deposited a total amount of Rs.4,92,000/- in the given account in multiple transactions. Thereafter, the complainant realized that a cyber fraud has committed with him. Thus, the request was made to take legal action against the accused. On the basis of the same, the FIR was registered. On registration of the FIR, the investigation commenced. During the investigation, complicity of the petitioner surfaced and thus, he was arrayed as an accused in the present case. Resultantly, the petitioner was arrested on 18.07.2025. On completion of the investigation, the challan has been presented and on framing of charges, the trial commenced. The petitioner approached the Court of learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Rewari praying for the grant of regular bail. However, after hearing both the sides and finding no merit in the same, the learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Rewari dismissed the bail application filed by the petitioner vide order dated 30.09.2025. Hence being aggrieved, the



petitioner is before this Court praying for grant of regular bail by way of filing the present petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He has submitted that the petitioner was neither named in the FIR nor he is the beneficiary. He has submitted that even otherwise, the alleged dispute with the complainant has already been resolved by way of compromise after the registration of the FIR. To buttress his arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record the deposition of the complainant, namely, Dheeraj Kumar, who has been examined before the learned trial Court as PW-1 and has been declared hostile. He has submitted that the petitioner is behind bars from last more than 08 months, however, there is no material progress in the trial. He has submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents as he has never been involved in any other case. He has submitted that in the facts and circumstances, the petitioner deserves to be granted regular bail.

4. *Per contra*, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. She has submitted that complicity of the petitioner has been duly established during the investigation. She has submitted that it has been found that the petitioner had facilitated the offence by providing the requisite bank account in which the transaction was made and after about 06 days, the said account was closed. She, on instructions, has submitted that out of total 12 prosecution witnesses, only 03 witnesses have been examined so far. She has produced custody certificate of the petitioner today in the Court, which



is taken on record.

5. The complainant, who is present in person in the Court through VC, has affirmed the fact that he has compromised the matter with the petitioner. He has submitted that he has been examined before the learned trial Court as PW-1 where he has not supported the case of prosecution.

6. Heard.

7. After hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioner is behind bars since the date of his arrest, i.e. 18.07.2025. The dispute between the petitioner and the complainant has already been resolved by way of compromise. The complainant, who is present in the Court through VC, has submitted that he has already been examined as PW-1 before the learned trial Court and has not supported the case of prosecution and thus, turned hostile. Custody certificate produced would show that the petitioner has suffered an incarceration of 08 months and 07 days as on 24.03.2026. It further reflects that the petitioner is not involved in any other case. Out of total 12 prosecution witnesses, only 03 witnesses have been examined so far.

8. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after the conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court. This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on the merits of the case. The trial of the case will take sufficient long time. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides and perusing the record, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for grant of



2026:PHHC:047685

CRM-M No.71323 of 2025 (O&M) -5-

2026:PHHC:047685



regular bail to the petitioner.

9. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

10. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the cases.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

25.03.2026

rittu

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No