



2025:PHHC:178342

2025:PHHC:178342



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

**CRM-M-71422-2025
Date of decision: 23.12.2025**

VISHAL SHEORAN @ VICKY

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Dr. Pankaj Nanhera, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Sachin Jangra, Advocate and
Mr. Jasbir Singh Bazzad, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Chhavi Sharma, Asstt. A.G. Haryana
for the respondent-State.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 88 dated 20.03.2025, registered under Section(s) 108 (Section 306 of Indian Penal Code), Section 3(5) of the BNS, 2023 at Police Station Sector 40, District Gurugram, Haryana.

2. Learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the case of the petitioner is at par with co-accused Naseeb and Mandeep to whom concession of regular bail has been granted by this Court



vide order dated 10.12.2025 passed in CRM-M-68600-2025 and CRM-M-67941-2025.

3. Learned State Counsel does not dispute the aforesaid facts.

4. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties.

5. In view of the facts noticed above and taking into consideration that similarly placed co-accused Naseeb and Mandeep have already been granted concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 10.12.2025 passed in CRM-M-68600 of 2025 and CRM-M-67941-2025 and extending treatment of parity, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

6. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

DECEMBER 23, 2025

Pishal Sharma

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No