



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

**CRM-M-69863-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.04.2026**

Kuldeep Singh @ Kaka Singh

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

210

**CRM-M-4422-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.04.2026**

Kuljeet Singh @ Kuljit Singh

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate for the petitioner
in CRM-M-69863-2025.

Mr. Vedant Setia, Advocate for the petitioner
in CRM-M-4422-2026.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Both these petitions, filed by two accused/petitioners, for grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.129 dated 16.08.2025, registered under Section(s) 110, 333, 115(2), 190, 191(3) [(Sections 109, 117(2) added later on)] of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 at Police Station Khuian Sarwar, District Fazilka, are being decided by this common order. For the facility of reference, the facts are, however, being taken from **CRM-M-69863-2025** titled as '*Kuldeep Singh @ Kaka Singh Vs. State of Punjab*'.



2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners contend that on 06.08.2025, the complainant was outside the house and had been cleaning the water tank above the source of water while his wife Veerpal Kaur was heating the milk in the house and his son was lying on the cot. At about 9.45 p.m, he heard alarm at bus stand and at the same time, one Sukhwinder Singh @ Sukha @ Alian son of Dalip Singh, entered his house and closed the gate. The petitioners herein are alleged to have chased Sukhwinder Singh @ Sukha @ Alian and they tried to forcibly open the gate, however, as they failed to do so, they started pelting stones towards the house. One of the bricks/stones so thrown also hit the 20 days old child of the complainant.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners contend that there is no specific attribution to the petitioners and only general role of throwing of stones/bricks upon said Sukhwinder Singh @ Sukha @ Alian has been attributed to them. It is contended that even if the allegations are taken to be true, there was no intent or knowledge attributable to the petitioners to cause any such injury to the child. They further contend that petitioners have no criminal antecedents and they have been in custody since 12.09.2025 and have already undergone an actual custody of more than 07 months. They contend that the trial is yet to commence.

4. Learned counsel for respondent-State does not dispute the aforesaid factual aspects.

5. Without commenting on the merits of the case and taking into consideration the facts and circumstances as noted above, including the period of actual custody undergone by the petitioners, nature of allegations



levelled against them, the manner in which the incident took place, the stage of the trial and bearing in mind that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time, I deem it fit to allow the instant petitions.

6. Accordingly, the instant petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be admitted to regular bail subject to their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Illaqa Magistrate concerned.

7. It is made clear that the petitioners shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

21.04.2026

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No