



2026:PHHC:073674

CRM-M-67671-2025

1

2026:PHHC:073674



**256 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-67671-2025
Date of decision: 11.05.2026**

**MUKTA SINGLA ALIAS MUKTA AGGARWALPetitioners
Versus
STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER
....Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Ms. Bhagyashri, Advocate with
Mr. Gurish Mann, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ganesh Kumar Sharma, Addl.P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

H.S. GREWAL, J (Oral):

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.0196, dated 12.10.2020, under Sections 279, 337 of IPC, registered at Police Station Sector-34, Chandigarh along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 03.11.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the FIR is outcome of an unfortunate accident. It is submitted that the dispute between the parties has now been resolved and with the intervention of the respectables of the Society, the matter has indeed been compromised. He has referred to the compromise (Annexure P-2), in this regard and prays for quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. This Court vide order dated 29.01.2026 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

4. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before



2026:PHHC:073674

CRM-M-67671-2025

2

2026:PHHC:073674



the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Chandigarh and got their statements recorded. A copy of report dated 27.02.2026 has been submitted to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

5. Learned counsel for U.T. Chandigarh has not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

7. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

8. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0196, dated 12.10.2020, under Sections 279, 337 of IPC, registered at Police Station Sector-34, Chandigarh along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners are hereby quashed.

9. Pending application, if any, shall stand disposed of accordingly.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

11.05.2026
monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes /No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes /No