



2026:PHHC:044192



CWP No.34877 of 2025

Date of Decision: 20.03.2026

Bhim Sain

....Petitioner

vs.

Registrar, Firm and Societies, Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. P.S.Doon, Advocate for
Mr. P.R.Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Akshit Pathania, AAG, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to decide representations dated 25.09.2025 (Annexures P-9 and P-10) as per Section 40 of the Haryana Registration & Regulation of Societies Act, 2012 (for short "2012 Act").

2. On 04.02.2026, the following order was passed:-

“ Counsel for the petitioner states that elections to Dada Chhajju Peer Dharm Sabha were held on 31.08.2025 and representations dated 08.09.2025, Annexure P-4, and 25.09.2025, Annexures P-9 and P-12, were submitted questioning the mode and manner of conducting the elections. Counsel states that these representations be treated as a petition under Section 40



of the Haryana Registration and Regulation of Societies Act, 2012 and be decided accordingly.

Advance copy of the petition has been served upon the official respondents.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Deepak Vashisth, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana puts in appearance on their behalf and requests for short accommodation to get instructions.

List on 16.02.2026.”

3. Learned State counsel submits that competent authority would pass an appropriate order treating the present petition as representation of the petitioner under Section 40 of 2012 Act.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees to the aforesaid arrangement.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

20.03.2026
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	
Whether reportable:		No