



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

251

CWP-34569-2025

Date of Decision: 21.04.2026

BABITA DEVI AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Dheeraj Narula, Advocate for petitioners

Ms. Svaneel Jaswal, Addl. A.G., Haryana

Mr. Ravish Kaushik, Advocate
For respondent No.5

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to grant them compensation of Rs.75,00,000/- on account of death of their son due to negligence of respondents.

2. On 28.01.2026, the following order was passed: -

“Counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners’ son died in an accident with a stray animal on 04.12.2024. He has made a reference to DDR, Annexure P1, to support his assertion. Counsel submits that petitioners have submitted representations, Annexures P5 and P6, which have not been attended to. Counsel has pointed out that the Government of Haryana has framed a policy, Annexure P7, for grant of



financial assistance in cases of accidental deaths etc. due to accident with stray animals, but the policy is applicable from the date of issuance of notification dated 8th September, 2025. Reliance has been placed by him upon a Division Bench judgment of this Court in Municipal Council, Kharar Versus Parminder Jit Kaur and others, 2015 (8) R.C.R. (Civil) 318 to contend that the writ Court can determine the compensation amount.

Issue notice of motion to the respondents.

On asking of Court, Mr. Deepak Vashisth, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and 6.

Respondent No.5 be served for 21.04.2026.

Copy of the petition be supplied to the State counsel."

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.5 - M.C. on instructions from Ms. Suman Lata, E.O. submits that competent authority would adjudicate petitioners' claim as per applicable policy within six weeks from today.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners agrees to the aforesaid arrangement.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands disposed of.

6. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

21.04.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No